

## SOME RESULTS ON MEROMORPHIC SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN NONLINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

NAN LI AND LIANZHONG YANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the transcendental meromorphic solutions for the nonlinear differential equations  $f^n f^{(k)} + Q_{d_*}(z, f) = R(z)e^{\alpha(z)}$  and  $f^n f^{(k)} + Q_d(z, f) = p_1(z)e^{\alpha_1(z)} + p_2(z)e^{\alpha_2(z)}$ , where  $Q_{d_*}(z, f)$  and  $Q_d(z, f)$  are differential polynomials in  $f$  with small functions as coefficients, of degree  $d_*$  ( $\leq n - 1$ ) and  $d$  ( $\leq n - 2$ ) respectively,  $R, p_1, p_2$  are non-vanishing small functions of  $f$ , and  $\alpha, \alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are non-constant entire functions. In particular, we give out the conditions for ensuring the existence of these kinds of meromorphic solutions and their possible forms of the above equations.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ . We assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notations and main results in Nevanlinna theory (see [1, 2, 6]). Throughout this paper, the term  $S(r, f)$  always has the property that  $S(r, f) = o(T(r, f))$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , possibly outside a set  $E$  (which is not necessarily the same at each occurrence) of finite linear measure. A meromorphic function  $a(z)$  is said to be a small function with respect to  $f(z)$  if and only if  $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$ . A differential polynomial  $Q_d(z, f)$  in  $f$  of degree  $d$  is a polynomial in  $f$  and its derivatives of a total degree at most  $d$  with small functions of  $f$  as the coefficients.

Recently, many scholars focus on the meromorphic solutions of the nonlinear differential equations of the form

$$(1) \quad f^n f' + Q_d(z, f) = h,$$

---

Received May 29, 2019; Revised September 16, 2019; Accepted October 16, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 34M05, 30D30, 30D35.

*Key words and phrases*. meromorphic functions, nonlinear differential equations, small functions, differential polynomials.

This work was supported by NNSF of China (No. 11801215 & No. 11626112 & No. 11371225), the NSF of Shandong Province, P. R. China (No. ZR2016AQ20 & No. ZR2018MA021), and the Fund of Doctoral Program Research of University of Jinan (No. XBS1630).

where  $Q_d(z, f)$  denotes a polynomial in  $f$  and its derivatives with a total degree  $d \leq n - 1$  with small functions of  $f$  as the coefficients, and  $h$  is a given meromorphic function.

In 2014, Liao and Ye [3] investigated the forms of meromorphic solutions of equation (1) for specific  $Q_d(z, f)$  and  $h$ , and obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1** ([3]). *Let  $Q_d(z, f)$  be a differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $d$  with rational function coefficients. Suppose that  $u$  is a nonzero rational function and  $v$  is a nonconstant polynomial. If  $n \geq d + 1$  and the differential equation*

$$(2) \quad f^n f' + Q_d(z, f) = u(z)e^{v(z)}$$

*admits a meromorphic solution  $f$  with finitely many poles, then  $f$  has the following form:*

$$f(z) = s(z)e^{v(z)/(n+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_d(z, f) \equiv 0,$$

*where  $s(z)$  is a rational function with  $s^n((n+1)s' + v's) = (n+1)u$ . In particular, if  $u$  is a polynomial, then  $s$  is a polynomial, too.*

Later Lü etc., [5] changed the condition on the coefficients of the differential polynomial from rational functions to small functions, and extended Theorem 1 to the following result.

**Theorem 2** ([5]). *Let  $P_{n-1}(f)$  be a differential polynomial in  $f$  with coefficients being small functions, and let  $\deg P_{n-1}(f) \leq n-1$ . Then for any positive integer  $n$ , any entire function  $\alpha$  and any small function  $R$ , the equation*

$$(3) \quad f^n f' + P_{n-1}(f) = Re^\alpha$$

*does not possess any transcendental meromorphic solution  $f(z)$  with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$  unless  $P_{n-1}(f) \equiv 0$ . Moreover, if the equation (3) possesses a meromorphic solution  $f$  with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , then (3) will become  $f^n f' = Re^\alpha$  and  $f(z)$  has the form  $f(z) = u \exp(\alpha/(n+1))$  as the only possible admissible solution of (3), where  $u$  is a small function of  $f$ .*

Then it is natural to ask what will happen if the dominant term is replaced by  $f^n f^{(k)}$  when  $k \geq 2$ ? Unfortunately, the method used in the proof of [5, Theorem 1.1] is not valid when  $k \geq 2$  by a carefully observation, so in this paper we consider the above problem from a new angle by using deficiency, and obtain the following Theorem 1.1.

We need the following notations in order to state our results. Let  $p$  be a positive integer and  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We use  $N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$  ( $N_{p+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ ) to denotes the counting function of the zeros of  $f - a$ , whose multiplicities are not greater than  $p$  (less than  $p + 1$ ). Define

$$\delta(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}, \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_p(a, f) = 1 - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 2$  be integers,  $Q_{d_*}(z, f)$  be a differential polynomial in  $f$  with coefficients being small functions, and  $d_* \leq n - 1$ . Then for any entire function  $\alpha$  and any small function  $R$ , the equation*

$$(4) \quad f^n f^{(k)} + Q_{d_*}(z, f) = Re^\alpha$$

*has a transcendental meromorphic solution  $f$  with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$  if and only if  $Q_{d_*}(z, f) \equiv 0$ . Moreover, if the equation (4) possesses a transcendental meromorphic solution  $f$  with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$ , then (4) will become  $f^n f^{(k)} = Re^\alpha$  and  $f(z)$  has the form  $f(z) = u \exp(\alpha/(n+1))$  as the only possible admissible solution of (4), where  $u$  is a small function of  $f$ .*

*Remark 1.* Actually, in Theorem 1.1 “if” part, i.e., if  $Q_{d_*}(z, f) \equiv 0$ , then we have not only  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$  but also  $\delta_1(0, f) = \delta(0, f) = 1$  by using the following Lemma 2.3 directly.

Being enlightened by Theorem 2, we pose the following question.

**Question 1.** *Can the condition  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$  in Theorem 1.1 “only if” part be omitted or not? That means, if the equation (4) possesses a transcendental meromorphic solution  $f$  with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$ , can we get  $Q_{d_*}(z, f) \equiv 0$  and the related results?*

It is also interesting and difficult to consider what is the form of meromorphic solutions of the following differential equations:

$$(5) \quad f^n f' + Q_d(z, f) = p_1(z)e^{\alpha_1(z)} + p_2(z)e^{\alpha_2(z)},$$

where  $Q_d(z, f)$  is a differential polynomial in  $f$  with small functions of  $f$  as the coefficients,  $p_1, p_2$  are small functions of  $f$ ,  $\alpha_1(z), \alpha_2(z)$  are nonconstant entire functions.

Recently, Zhang [7] gave the forms of transcendental meromorphic solutions of equation (5) for a particular case, when  $Q_d(z, f)$  is a rational function of  $z$  (i.e.  $d = 0$ ),  $p_1, p_2$  are nonzero rational functions and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are nonconstant polynomials. And they showed that the conditions concerning  $\alpha_1'/\alpha_2'$  could ensure the existence of the possible meromorphic solutions of the above equation. Later, in [9] Zhang etc., further their results to the case when  $Q_d(z, f)$  is a differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $d \leq n - 2$  with rational functions as its coefficients.

In 2017, Lu [4] replaced the dominant term  $f^n f'$  in equation (5) by  $f^n f^{(k)}$ , the rational coefficients of the differential polynomial by small functions, changed nonconstant polynomials  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  to entire functions satisfying one of the following three conditions (a)  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_2})$  (b)  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_1})$  (c)  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_2}))$  &  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_1}))$ , and obtained the following result.

**Theorem 3 ([4]).** *Let  $n \geq 3$ ,  $k \geq 2$  be integers, and  $P_{n-3}(z, f)$  be a differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree at most  $n - 3$  with small functions as its coefficients,*

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be nonconstant entire functions,  $p_1, p_2$  be nonzero small functions of both  $e^{\alpha_1(z)}$  and  $e^{\alpha_2(z)}$ . If  $f(z)$  is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the following nonlinear differential equation

$$(6) \quad f^n f^{(k)} + P_{n-3}(z, f) = p_1(z)e^{\alpha_1(z)} + p_2(z)e^{\alpha_2(z)}$$

satisfying  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , then there exist two cases:

- (I)  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_2})$ , and  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$ ,  $P_{n-3}(f) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ ; Or  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_1})$ , and  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ ,  $P_{n-3}(f) = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$ ;
- (II)  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_2}))$ . In this case, we have that

$$T(r, f) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_1})) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_2}))$$

and therefore  $S(r, f) = S(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_2})$ . We use  $T(r)$ , resp.  $S(r)$  to denote these two quantities. Then one of the following holds:

- (1)  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}) = S(r)$ . In this case,  $P_{n-3}(f) \equiv 0$  and  $f^n f^{(k)} = (p_1 + \varphi p_2)e^{\alpha_1}$ , where  $\varphi = e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}$ ;
- (2)  $T(r, e^{k\alpha_1 - (n+1)\alpha_2}) = S(r)$ , where  $k$  is an integer satisfying  $1 \leq k \leq n-3$ . In this case,  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$  and  $P_{n-3}(f) = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$ , which actually means  $f = s_1(z)e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{n+1}}$  with  $T(r, s_1) = S(r)$ ;
- (3)  $T(r, e^{k\alpha_2 - (n+1)\alpha_1}) = S(r)$ , where  $k$  is an integer satisfying  $1 \leq k \leq n-3$ . In this case,  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$  and  $P_{n-3}(f) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ , which actually means  $f = s_2(z)e^{\frac{\alpha_2}{n+1}}$  with  $T(r, s_2) = S(r)$ .

In Theorem 3, the degree of the differential polynomial  $P_{n-3}(z, f)$  is under the condition ‘‘at most  $n-3$ ’’, then it is natural to ask what will happen if the degree of the differential polynomial is bigger than  $n-3$ ? In this paper, we study the above problem, consider the form of solutions of the following equation (7) when  $d \leq n-2$  and entire functions  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  satisfying one of the above three conditions (a), (b), (c) by using deficiency, and obtain the following Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic function in the plane with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$  be integers, and  $Q_d(z, f)$  be a differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $d \leq n-2$  with small functions as its coefficients,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be nonconstant entire functions satisfying one of the above three conditions (a), (b), (c), and  $p_1, p_2$  be nonzero small functions of  $f$ . Suppose the following nonlinear differential equation*

$$(7) \quad f^n(z)f^{(k)}(z) + Q_d(z, f) = p_1(z)e^{\alpha_1(z)} + p_2(z)e^{\alpha_2(z)},$$

holds, then

- (I) if  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_2})$ , then  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$ ,  $Q_d(z, f) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ , and  $f(z) = u_1(z)e^{\frac{\alpha_2}{n+1}}$ , where  $u_1(z)$  is a small function of  $f$ ;
- (II) if  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_1})$ , then  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ ,  $Q_d(z, f) = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$ , and  $f(z) = u_2(z)e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{n+1}}$ , where  $u_2(z)$  is a small function of  $f$ ;

(III) if  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_2}))$  and  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_1}))$ , then one of the following holds:

- (1)  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}) = S(r, f)$ . In this case,  $Q_d(z, f) \equiv 0$  and  $f^n f^{(k)} = (p_1 + \varphi p_2) e^{\alpha_1} = (p_2 + 1/\varphi \cdot p_1) e^{\alpha_2}$ , where  $\varphi = e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}$ , and  $f(z) = u_3(z) e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{n+1}} = u_4(z) e^{\frac{\alpha_2}{n+1}}$ , where  $u_3(z), u_4(z)$  are small functions of  $f$ ;
- (2)  $T(r, e^{l\alpha_1 - (n+1)\alpha_2}) = S(r, f)$ , where  $l$  is an integer satisfying  $1 \leq l \leq n - 2$ . In this case,  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ ,  $Q_d(z, f) = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$ , and  $f(z) = u_5(z) e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{n+1}}$ , where  $u_5(z)$  is a small function of  $f$ ;
- (3)  $T(r, e^{l\alpha_2 - (n+1)\alpha_1}) = S(r, f)$ , where  $l$  is an integer satisfying  $1 \leq l \leq n - 2$ . In this case,  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$ ,  $Q_d(z, f) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ , and  $f(z) = u_6(z) e^{\frac{\alpha_2}{n+1}}$ , where  $u_6(z)$  is a small function of  $f$ .

Specifically, when  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  be polynomials, we get the following Corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$  be integers, and  $Q_d(z, f)$  be a differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $d \leq n - 2$  with small functions as its coefficients,  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  be nonconstant polynomials, and  $p_1, p_2$  be nonzero small functions of  $f$ . Suppose the nonlinear differential equation (7) has a transcendental meromorphic solution  $f$  with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$ , then

- (I) if  $\deg \alpha_1 < \deg \alpha_2$ , then Theorem 1.2(I) holds;
- (II) if  $\deg \alpha_2 < \deg \alpha_1$ , then Theorem 1.2(II) holds;
- (III) if  $\deg \alpha_1 = \deg \alpha_2$ , then Theorem 1.2(III) holds.

*Remark 2.* Actually, by using the similar method as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, the condition on  $p_1, p_2$  in Theorem 3 can be changed from “small functions of both  $e^{\alpha_1(z)}$  and  $e^{\alpha_2(z)}$ ” to “small functions of  $f$ ”, and the same conclusion still holds. Moreover, the forms of its transcendental solutions can also be given.

**Example 1.**  $f_0(z) = e^{e^z}$  is a solution of the following equation

$$f^3 f' + f'' = e^z e^{4e^z} + (e^{2z} + e^z) e^{e^z},$$

where  $n = 3$ ,  $d = k = 1$ ,  $\alpha_1(z) = 4e^z$ ,  $\alpha_2(z) = e^z$ ,  $p_1 = e^z$ ,  $p_2 = e^{2z} + e^z$ ,  $\delta(\infty, f_0) = \delta_1(0, f_0) = 1$ .

The above Example 1 shows that the solution in Theorem 1.2(III)(2) can exist. However, we raise the following question.

**Question 2.** Can the condition  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$  in Theorem 1.2 be omitted or not?

The following corollary deals with a particular case that the degree of the non-dominant term is at most  $n$ .

**Corollary 1.4.** Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic function in the plane with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$ ,  $n \geq 2$  be an integer,  $q$  be a constant, and  $Q_d(z, f)$  be a differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $d$  with small functions as

coefficients. Suppose  $p_1, p_2$  are nonzero small functions and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are nonconstant entire functions. If  $n \geq d + 2$  and the differential equation

$$(8) \quad f^n f' - q f^{n-1} f' + \frac{n-1}{2n} q^2 f^{n-2} f' + Q_d(z, f) = p_1(z) e^{\alpha_1(z)} + p_2(z) e^{\alpha_2(z)},$$

holds, then the conclusion in Theorem 1.2 holds.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

The following lemma plays an important role in uniqueness problems of meromorphic functions.

**Lemma 2.1** ([6]). *Let  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) ( $n \geq 2$ ) be meromorphic functions, and let  $g_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) be entire functions satisfying*

- (i)  $\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(z) e^{g_j(z)} \equiv 0$ ;
- (ii) when  $1 \leq j < k \leq n$ , then  $g_i(z) - g_k(z)$  is not a constant;
- (iii) when  $1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq h < k \leq n$ , then

$$T(r, f_j) = o\{T(r, e^{g_h - g_k})\} \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E),$$

where  $E \subset (1, \infty)$  is of finite linear measure or logarithmic measure.

Then,  $f_j(z) \equiv 0$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ).

**Lemma 2.2** (Clunie Lemma [2]). *Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation:*

$$f^n P(z, f) = Q(z, f),$$

where  $P(z, f)$  and  $Q(z, f)$  are polynomials in  $f$  and its derivatives with meromorphic coefficients  $\{a_\lambda \mid \lambda \in I\}$  such that  $m(r, a_\lambda) = S(r, f)$  for all  $r \in I$ . If the total degree of  $Q(z, f)$  as a polynomial in  $f$  and its derivatives is at most  $n$ , then  $m(r, P(z, f)) = S(r, f)$ .

The following two lemmas are crucial to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic function in the plane satisfying*

$$(9) \quad f^n f^{(k)} = R e^\alpha,$$

where  $n \geq 1, k \geq 1$  are integers,  $\alpha$  is a nonconstant entire function, and  $R$  is a nonzero small function of  $f$ . Then  $f(z) = u \exp(\alpha/(n+1))$ , where  $u$  is a small function of  $f$ .

*Proof.* From equation (9), we have

$$nN\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^n}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{R}\right) = S(r, f),$$

and

$$N(r, f^{(k)}) \leq N(r, R) = S(r, f).$$

Therefore,

$$T\left(r, \frac{Re^\alpha}{f^{n+1}}\right) = T\left(r, \frac{f^n f^{(k)}}{f^{n+1}}\right) = m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Set  $\beta(z) = \frac{Re^\alpha}{f^{n+1}}$ , then we have

$$f(z) = \left(\frac{R}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} e^{\frac{\alpha}{n+1}} = u(z)e^{\frac{\alpha}{n+1}},$$

where  $T(r, u) = S(r, f)$ .  $\square$

By the proof of [8, Theorem 1.3] (or [2, Lemma 2.4.2, Clunie Lemma]), we have the following lemma. Here for convenience of the readers we also give the sketch of its proof.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $Q(z, f)$  be a differential polynomial in  $f$  of degree  $d$  with small functions of  $f$  as coefficients. Then we have  $m(r, Q) \leq dm(r, f) + S(r, f)$ .*

*Proof.* Defining  $E_1 := \{\theta \in [0, 2\pi) \mid |f(re^{i\theta})| < 1\}$ ,  $E_2 := [0, 2\pi) \setminus E_1$ , we may consider the proximity function  $m(r, Q_d)$  in two parts:

$$(10) \quad m(r, Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_1} \log^+ |Q| d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_2} \log^+ |Q| d\theta.$$

Writing, with  $\lambda = (l_0, \dots, l_\nu)$ ,

$$Q(z, f) = \sum_{\lambda \in I} Q_\lambda(z, f) = \sum_{\lambda \in I} a_\lambda(z) f^{l_0} (f')^{l_1} \dots (f^{(\nu)})^{l_\nu}.$$

For  $z \in E_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_\lambda(z, f)| &= |a_\lambda(z) f^{l_0} (f')^{l_1} \dots (f^{(\nu)})^{l_\nu}| \\ &\leq |a_\lambda| \left| \frac{f'}{f} \right|^{l_1} \dots \left| \frac{f^{(\nu)}}{f} \right|^{l_\nu}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by the logarithmic derivative lemma, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_1} \log^+ |Q_\lambda| d\theta \leq m(r, a_\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} l_j m\left(r, \frac{f^{(j)}}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Hence

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_1} \log^+ |Q| d\theta \leq \sum_{\lambda \in I} \int_{E_1} \log^+ |Q_\lambda| d\theta + O(1) = S(r, f).$$

For  $z \in E_2$ , as  $l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_\nu \leq d$  for all  $\lambda \in I$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(z, f)| &\leq \sum_{\lambda \in I} |a_\lambda(z) f^{l_0} (f')^{l_1} \dots (f^{(\nu)})^{l_\nu}| \\ &\leq |f|^d \left( \sum_{\lambda \in I} |a_\lambda| \left| \frac{f'}{f} \right|^{l_1} \dots \left| \frac{f^{(\nu)}}{f} \right|^{l_\nu} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_2} \log^+ |Q| d\theta &\leq dm(r, f) + \sum_{\lambda \in I} m(r, a_\lambda) \\
&\quad + \sum_{\lambda \in I} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} l_j m \left( r, \frac{f^{(j)}}{f} \right) \right) + O(1) \\
&= dm(r, f) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned}$$

By combining (10), (11) with (12), we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $n \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$  be integers and  $Q_d(z, f)$  denote an algebraic differential polynomial in  $f(z)$  of degree  $d \leq n - 1$  with small functions of  $f$  as its coefficients. If  $p_1(z), p_2(z)$  are small functions of  $f$ ,  $\alpha_1(z), \alpha_2(z)$  are nonconstant entire functions and if  $f$  is a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation (7) with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , then we have  $T(r, f) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) + T(r, e^{\alpha_2}))$ ,  $T(r, p_1 e^{\alpha_1} + p_2 e^{\alpha_2}) = O(T(r, f))$ , and  $T(r, f^n f^{(k)} + Q_d(z, f)) \neq S(r, f)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4, we get that

$$(13) \quad m(r, Q_d(z, f)) \leq dm(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

By combining (13) with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(n+1)T(r, f) &= T(r, f^{n+1}) = T \left( r, \frac{1}{f^{n+1}} \right) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq m \left( r, \frac{1}{f^n f^{(k)}} \right) + m \left( r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f} \right) + N \left( r, \frac{1}{f^n f^{(k)}} \right) \\
&\quad - N \left( r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}} \right) + N \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq T \left( r, f^n f^{(k)} \right) + N \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f) \\
&= m \left( r, f^n f^{(k)} \right) + N \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq m(r, p_1 e^{\alpha_1}) + m(r, p_2 e^{\alpha_2}) + m(r, Q_d(z, f)) \\
&\quad + N \left( r, \frac{1}{f} \right) + S(r, f) \\
&\leq T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) + T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) + (d+1)T(r, f) + S(r, f).
\end{aligned}$$

This gives that

$$(n-d)T(r, f) \leq T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) + T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) + S(r, f),$$

i.e.,  $T(r, f) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) + T(r, e^{\alpha_2}))$ .

From (13),  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$  and equation (7), we can also get  $T(r, p_1 e^{\alpha_1} + p_2 e^{\alpha_2}) = O(T(r, f))$ .



Next, we prove that  $T(r, f^n f^{(k)} + Q_d(z, f))$  can not be a small function of  $f$ . Otherwise, we will have  $f^n f^{(k)} + Q_d(z, f) = \beta$  with  $T(r, \beta) = S(r, f)$ . Thus  $f^n f^{(k)} = \beta - Q_d(z, f)$ . Since  $d \leq n - 1$ , from Lemma 2.2, we get  $m(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$  and  $m(r, f f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$ . Then  $T(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$  and  $T(r, f f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$  since  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ . By  $f^{(k)} \not\equiv 0$  from the assumption that  $f$  is transcendental, we have  $T(r, f) \leq T(r, f f^{(k)}) + T(r, 1/f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$ , which yields a contradiction.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The sufficiency can be deduced by using Lemma 2.3 directly, so next we prove the necessity.

Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation (4) with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$ . Then obviously we have  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ .

We assert that  $R \not\equiv 0$ . Otherwise, from (4), we get that

$$f^n f^{(k)} = -Q_{d_*}(z, f).$$

Since  $d_* \leq n - 1$ , then by Lemma 2.2 we have

$$m(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r, f), \quad m(r, f f^{(k)}) = S(r, f).$$

Combining with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , we get that

$$T(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r, f), \quad T(r, f f^{(k)}) = S(r, f).$$

Since  $f$  is transcendental, we have that  $f^{(k)} \not\equiv 0$ . Therefore,

$$T(r, f) \leq T(r, f f^{(k)}) + T(r, 1/f^{(k)}) = S(r, f),$$

which yields a contradiction. So we have  $R \not\equiv 0$ . Thus from (4) we get

$$e^\alpha = \frac{f^n f^{(k)} + Q_{d_*}(z, f)}{R}.$$

Therefore, by using Lemma 2.4 to the differential polynomial  $f^n f^{(k)} + Q_{d_*}(z, f)$  with degree  $n + 1$ , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, e^\alpha) &\leq T(r, f^n f^{(k)} + Q_{d_*}(z, f)) + T(r, R) \\ &= m(r, f^n f^{(k)} + Q_{d_*}(z, f)) + S(r, f) \\ &\leq (n + 1)m(r, f) + S(r, f) \\ &= (n + 1)T(r, f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

which means a small function of  $e^\alpha$  is also a small function of  $f$ . So we have  $T(r, \alpha') = S(r, f)$ .

By differentiating both sides of (4) we have

$$(14) \quad n f^{n-1} f' f^{(k)} + f^n f^{(k+1)} + Q'_{d_*} = (R' + R\alpha')e^\alpha.$$

Multiplying (4) by  $(R' + R\alpha')$  and (14) by  $R$ , and then subtracting the resulting equations, we get

$$(15) \quad f^{n-1}\phi = RQ'_{d_*} - (R' + R\alpha')Q_{d_*},$$

where

$$(16) \quad \phi = (R' + R\alpha')ff^{(k)} - Rnf'f^{(k)} - Rff^{(k+1)}.$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $m(r, \phi) = S(r, f)$ . Combining with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , we have  $T(r, \phi) = S(r, f)$ .

Next we prove that  $\phi \equiv 0$ . Otherwise, from formula (16), we get that

$$\frac{\phi}{f^2} = (R' + R\alpha')\frac{f^{(k)}}{f} - nR\frac{f'}{f}\frac{f^{(k)}}{f} - R\frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f}.$$

Thus,

$$(17) \quad 2m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) \leq m\left(r, \frac{\phi}{f^2}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{\phi}\right) = S(r, f).$$

It follows from (16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}N_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) &\leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{\phi}\right) + N(r, R' + R\alpha') + N(r, R) \\ &\leq T(r, \phi) + S(r, f) = S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

implying that the zeros of  $f$  are mainly simple zeros. Thus, by combining with (17), we obtain

$$T(r, f) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) = N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f),$$

which contradicts with the assumption that  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$ . Therefore,

$$(18) \quad (R' + R\alpha')ff^{(k)} - Rnf'f^{(k)} - Rff^{(k+1)} \equiv 0,$$

and

$$(19) \quad RQ'_{d_*} - (R' + R\alpha')Q_{d_*} \equiv 0.$$

If  $Q_{d_*} \equiv 0$ , then equation (4) reduces to

$$f^n f^{(k)} = Re^\alpha.$$

Thus by Lemma 2.3, we get the conclusion.

If  $Q_{d_*} \not\equiv 0$ , then from (19), we have

$$\frac{Q'_{d_*}}{Q_{d_*}} = \frac{R'}{R} + \alpha'.$$

Therefore

$$(20) \quad Q_{d_*} = cRe^\alpha,$$

where  $c$  is a nonzero constant.

By substituting (20) into equation (4), we get

$$(21) \quad f^n f^{(k)} = \left(\frac{1}{c} - 1\right) Q_{d_*}.$$

If  $c = 1$ , then we have  $f^n f^{(k)} \equiv 0$ . Thus we have  $f \equiv 0$ , or  $f$  is a polynomial, a contradiction. Therefore, we have  $c \neq 1$ . Since  $d_* \leq n - 1$ , by using Lemma 2.2 to (21), we have  $m(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$  and  $m(r, f f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$ . By combining with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , we get  $T(r, f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$  and  $T(r, f f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$ . Thus by  $f^{(k)} \not\equiv 0$ , we have  $T(r, f) \leq T(r, f f^{(k)}) + T(r, 1/f^{(k)}) = S(r, f)$ , which yields a contradiction.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic solution of the equation (7) with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta_{(1)}(0, f) > 0$ . Then obviously we have  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 and the assumption  $d \leq n - 2 < n - 1$  that

$$(22) \quad T(r, f) \leq K_0 (T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) + T(r, e^{\alpha_2})),$$

$$(23) \quad T(r, p_1 e^{\alpha_1} + p_2 e^{\alpha_2}) \leq K_0 T(r, f),$$

and

$$(24) \quad T(r, f^n f^{(k)} + Q_d(z, f)) \neq S(r, f)$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $K_0 (> 0)$  is a constant.

From (7), we have

$$(25) \quad n f^{n-1} f' f^{(k)} + f^n f^{(k+1)} + Q'_d = (p'_1 + p_1 \alpha'_1) e^{\alpha_1} + (p'_2 + p_2 \alpha'_2) e^{\alpha_2}.$$

By eliminating  $e^{\alpha_2(z)}$  from equations (7) and (25), we have

$$(26) \quad \begin{aligned} & (p'_2 + p_2 \alpha'_2) f^n f^{(k)} - n p_2 f^{n-1} f' f^{(k)} - p_2 f^n f^{(k+1)} + (p'_2 + p_2 \alpha'_2) Q_d - p_2 Q'_d \\ & = A_1 e^{\alpha_1}, \text{ where } A_1 = (p'_2 + p_2 \alpha'_2) p_1 - p_2 (p'_1 + p_1 \alpha'_1). \end{aligned}$$

Next we discuss the following three cases.

**Case 1.**  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_2})$ . Then from (22) we have

$$T(r, f) \leq 2K_0 \cdot T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

which means that a small function of  $f$  is also a small function of  $e^{\alpha_2}$ . So from (23), we get

$$(1 + o(1))T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = T(r, p_1 e^{\alpha_1} + p_2 e^{\alpha_2}) \leq K_0 T(r, f) \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

which means that a small function of  $e^{\alpha_2}$  is also a small function of  $f$ . So we have  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = S(r, f)$ . We rewritten (7) as follows:

$$f^n(z) f^{(k)}(z) + Q_d(z, f) - p_1 e^{\alpha_1} = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}.$$

Therefore, by using Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2, we get that  $Q_d(z, f) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ ,  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_2 e^{\alpha_2}$ , and  $f = u_1 \exp(\alpha_2/(n+1))$ , where  $u_1$  is a small function of  $f$ .

**Case 2.**  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_1})$ . The argument is similar as in Case 1.

**Case 3.**  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_2}))$  and  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = O(T(r, e^{\alpha_1}))$ . Then there exist constants  $K_1$  and  $L_1 (> 0)$  such that

$$(27) \quad T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) \leq K_1 \cdot T(r, e^{\alpha_1}), \quad T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) \leq L_1 \cdot T(r, e^{\alpha_2})$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , which means that a small function of  $e^{\alpha_2}$  is also a small function of  $e^{\alpha_1}$ , while a small function of  $e^{\alpha_1}$  is also a small function of  $e^{\alpha_2}$ .

**Subcase 3.1.**  $A_1(z) \equiv 0$ . Then we have

$$(p'_2 + p_2\alpha'_2)p_1 = p_2(p'_1 + p_1\alpha'_1).$$

Therefore

$$(28) \quad p_2e^{\alpha_2} = c_0p_1e^{\alpha_1},$$

where  $c_0$  is a nonzero constant. So we have  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}) = S(r, f)$ .

Substituting (28) into equation (7), we get

$$f^n(z)f^{(k)}(z) + Q_d(z, f) = (1 + c_0)p_1e^{\alpha_1} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{c_0}\right)p_2e^{\alpha_2}.$$

Obviously, from (24) we have that  $1 + c_0 \neq 0$  and  $1 + 1/c_0 \neq 0$ . Therefore, by using Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2, we get that  $Q_d(z, f) \equiv 0$ ,  $f^n f^{(k)} = (p_1 + p_2e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1})e^{\alpha_1} = (p_2 + p_1e^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2})e^{\alpha_2}$ , and  $f = s_1 \exp(\alpha_1/(n+1)) = s_2 \exp(\alpha_2/(n+1))$ , where  $s_1, s_2$  are small functions of  $f$ . This belongs to Case III (1) in Theorem 1.2.

**Subcase 3.2.**  $A_1(z) \not\equiv 0$ . By combining (22) with (27), we get that

$$(29) \quad T(r, f) \leq K_0(1 + K_1) \cdot T(r, e^{\alpha_1}), \quad T(r, f) \leq K_0(1 + L_1) \cdot T(r, e^{\alpha_2})$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , which means that a small function of  $f$  is also a small function of  $e^{\alpha_1}$  and  $e^{\alpha_2}$ .

By combining (26) with (27), we get that there exists  $K_2 (> 0)$  such that

$$T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) \leq K_2T(r, f), \quad \text{and} \quad T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) \leq K_1K_2T(r, f)$$

as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , which means that any small function of  $e^{\alpha_1}$  (or  $e^{\alpha_2}$ ) is also a small function of  $f$ . Therefore, we have  $S(r, f) = S(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = S(r, e^{\alpha_2})$ .

For convenience of calculation, we denote  $A_2 = p'_2 + p_2\alpha'_2$  and  $g = (p'_2 + p_2\alpha'_2)Q_d - p_2Q'_d$ . Obviously,  $A_2 \not\equiv 0$ . Otherwise we will get that

$$p_2 = c_1e^{-\alpha_2},$$

where  $c_1$  is a nonzero constant, which yields a contradiction by the fact that  $T(r, p_2) = S(r, e^{\alpha_2})$ .

Thus equation (26) becomes

$$(30) \quad A_2f^n f^{(k)} - np_2f^{n-1}f'f^{(k)} - p_2f^n f^{(k+1)} + g = A_1e^{\alpha_1}.$$

Differentiating both sides of (30), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & A_2'f^n f^{(k)} + n(A_2 - p'_2)f^{n-1}f'f^{(k)} + (A_2 - p'_2)f^n f^{(k+1)} \\ & - np_2f^{n-1}f''f^{(k)} - n(n-1)p_2f^{n-2}(f')^2f^{(k)} - 2np_2f^{n-1}f'f^{(k+1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(31) \quad -p_2 f^n f^{(k+2)} + g' = (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1) e^{\alpha_1}.$$

By eliminating  $e^{\alpha_1}$  from equations (30) and (31), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [A_1 A'_2 - (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1) A_2] f^n f^{(k)} \\ & + [n A_1 p_2 \alpha'_2 + n p_2 (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1)] f^{n-1} f' f^{(k)} \\ & + [A_1 p_2 \alpha'_2 + (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1) p_2] f^n f^{(k+1)} - n(n-1) A_1 p_2 f^{n-2} (f')^2 f^{(k)} \\ & - n A_1 p_2 f^{n-1} f'' f^{(k)} - 2n p_2 A_1 f^{n-1} f' f^{(k+1)} - p_2 A_1 f^n f^{(k+2)} \\ (32) \quad & = (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1) g - A_1 g'. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $B_1 = A_1 A'_2 - (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1) A_2$ ,  $B_2 = n A_1 p_2 \alpha'_2 + n p_2 (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1)$ ,  $B_3 = A_1 p_2 \alpha'_2 + (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1) p_2$ ,  $Q_1 = (A'_1 + A_1 \alpha'_1) g - A_1 g'$ , then we have

$$(33) \quad f^{n-2} Q = Q_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= B_1 f^2 f^{(k)} + B_2 f f' f^{(k)} + B_3 f^2 f^{(k+1)} - n(n-1) A_1 p_2 (f')^2 f^{(k)} \\ (34) \quad & - n A_1 p_2 f f'' f^{(k)} - 2n p_2 A_1 f f' f^{(k+1)} - p_2 A_1 f^2 f^{(k+2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 that  $m(r, Q) = S(r, f)$ . By combining with the fact that  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , we have  $T(r, Q) = S(r, f)$ .

Next we assert that  $Q \equiv 0$ . Otherwise, from formula (34), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 3m\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) &\leq m\left(r, \frac{Q}{f^3}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{1}{Q}\right) \\ &\leq 4m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right) + 4m\left(r, \frac{f'}{f}\right) + 2m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f''}{f}\right) \\ &\quad + m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+2)}}{f}\right) + S(r, f) \\ (35) \quad &= S(r, f). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (34) that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{(2)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) &\leq N(r, B_1) + N(r, B_2) + N(r, B_3) + N(r, A_1 p_2) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{Q}\right) \\ &\leq T(r, Q) + S(r, f) = S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

implying that the zeros of  $f$  are mainly simple zeros. Thus, combining with (35), we obtain

$$T(r, f) = N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f) = N_1\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f),$$

which contradicts with the assumption that  $\delta_1(0, f) > 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & B_1 f^2 f^{(k)} + B_2 f f' f^{(k)} + B_3 f^2 f^{(k+1)} - n(n-1) A_1 p_2 (f')^2 f^{(k)} \\ (36) \quad & - n A_1 p_2 f f'' f^{(k)} - 2n p_2 A_1 f f' f^{(k+1)} - p_2 A_1 f^2 f^{(k+2)} \equiv 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(37) \quad Q_1 = (A'_1 + A_1\alpha'_1)g - A_1g' \equiv 0.$$

**Subcase 3.2.1.**  $g(z) \equiv 0$ , i.e.,

$$(38) \quad (p'_2 + p_2\alpha'_2)Q_d - p_2Q'_d \equiv 0.$$

If  $Q_d \equiv 0$ , then equation (7) becomes

$$(39) \quad f^n f^{(k)} = p_1 e^{\alpha_1} + p_2 e^{\alpha_2}.$$

Next, we prove that  $N(r, 1/f) = S(r, f)$ . Otherwise, consider equation (36), let  $z_0$  be a zero of  $f$  with multiplicity  $p$ , which is not a zero or pole of  $B_1, B_2, B_3$  and  $p_2A_1$ , then  $(f'(z_0))^2 f^{(k)}(z_0) = 0$ . Suppose  $f(z) = a_p(z - z_0)^p + a_{p+1}(z - z_0)^{p+1} + \dots$ ,  $a_p \neq 0$ .

For the case  $k = 1$ , we have  $p \geq 2$  from the fact that  $f'(z_0) = 0$ .

If  $p = 2$ , by calculating the coefficient of the lowest power of  $z - z_0$  in the left of equation (36), we have

$$n(n-1)(2a_2)^3 + 3n2^2a_2^3 = 0.$$

Thus

$$2n^2 + n = 0,$$

which is impossible since  $n \geq 2$ .

If  $p \geq 3$ , then by calculating the coefficient of the lowest power of  $z - z_0$  in the left of equation (36), we have

$$n(n-1)(pa_p)^3 + 3np^2(p-1)a_p^3 + p(p-1)(p-2)a_p^3 = 0,$$

thus

$$[(n+1)p-1][(n+1)p-2] = 0.$$

This gives that

$$p = \frac{1}{n+1}, \text{ or } p = \frac{2}{n+1},$$

which is impossible since  $n \geq 2$  and  $p \geq 3$ .

For the case  $k \geq 2$ , suppose  $f^{(k)}(z) = b_m(z - z_0)^m + b_{m+1}(z - z_0)^{m+1} + \dots$ ,  $b_m \neq 0$ . Then there exist the following three subcases.

**I.**  $f'(z_0) = 0$  and  $f^{(k)}(z_0) = 0$ . Then  $p \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 1$ .

If  $p \geq 2$  and  $m = 1$ . By calculating the coefficient of the lowest power of  $z - z_0$  in the left of equation (36), we have

$$n(n-1)(pa_p)^2b_1 + np(p-1)a_p^2b_1 + 2npa_p^2b_1 = 0,$$

thus,

$$(n-1)p + p - 1 + 2 = 0,$$

which yields a contradiction.

If  $p \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 2$ . By calculating the coefficient of the lowest power of  $z - z_0$  in the left of equation (36), we have

$$n(n-1)(pa_p)^2b_m + np(p-1)a_p^2b_m + 2npa_p^2mb_m + a_p^2m(m-1)b_m = 0,$$

thus

$$(np+m)(np+m-1) = 0,$$

which also yields a contradiction.

**II.**  $f'(z_0) = 0$  and  $f^{(k)}(z_0) \neq 0$ . Then  $2 \leq p \leq k$  and  $m = 0$ . By calculating the coefficient of the lowest power of  $z - z_0$  in the left of equation (36), we have

$$n(n-1)(pa_p)^2b_0 + na_pp(p-1)a_pb_0 = 0,$$

thus

$$(n-1)p + (p-1) = 0,$$

which yields a contradiction.

**III.**  $f'(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $f^{(k)}(z_0) = 0$ . Then  $p = 1$  and  $m \geq 1$ .

If  $p = 1$  and  $m = 1$ , then by calculating the coefficient of the lowest power of  $z - z_0$  in the left of equation (36), we have

$$n(n-1)a_1^2b_1 + 2na_1^2b_1 = 0,$$

thus

$$n(n+1) = 0,$$

which yields a contradiction.

If  $p = 1$  and  $m \geq 2$ , then by calculating the coefficient of the lowest power of  $z - z_0$  in the left of equation (36), we have

$$n(n-1)a_1^2b_m + 2na_1^2mb_m + a_1^2m(m-1)b_m = 0,$$

thus

$$(m+n)(m+n-1) = 0,$$

which also yields a contradiction.

Hence, for  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$(40) \quad N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Rewrite (30) as

$$(41) \quad \frac{A_2 f^{(k)}}{A_1 f} - \frac{np_2 f' f^{(k)}}{A_1 f f} - \frac{p_2 f^{(k+1)}}{A_1 f} = \frac{e^{\alpha_1}}{f^{n+1}}.$$

Then by Logarithmic Derivative Lemma, from (41) we get

$$m\left(r, \frac{e^{\alpha_1}}{f^{n+1}}\right) = S(r, f).$$

Therefore, by combining with (40),

$$T\left(r, \frac{e^{\alpha_1}}{f^{n+1}}\right) = m\left(r, \frac{e^{\alpha_1}}{f^{n+1}}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{e^{\alpha_1}}{f^{n+1}}\right) = S(r, f).$$

We set

$$\beta(z) = \frac{e^{\alpha_1}}{f^{n+1}},$$

then  $T(r, \beta) = S(r, f)$ , and

$$(42) \quad f = \left(\frac{1}{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{n+1}} = t_1(z) e^{\frac{\alpha_1}{n+1}},$$

where  $t_1(z)$  is a small function of  $f$ .

Substituting (42) into equation (39), we get that

$$q_{n+1}(z) e^{\alpha_1} = p_1 e^{\alpha_1} + p_2 e^{\alpha_2},$$

where  $q_{n+1}(z)$  is a small function of  $f$ , which gives that  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}) = S(r, f)$ . Then  $f^n f^{(k)} = (p_1 + \varphi p_2) e^{\alpha_1}$ , where  $\varphi = e^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}$  such that  $T(r, \varphi) = S(r, f)$ . This belongs to Case III (1) in Theorem 1.2.

If  $Q_d \neq 0$ , then equation (38) becomes

$$(43) \quad \frac{p_2'}{p_2} + \alpha_2' = \frac{Q_d'}{Q_d}.$$

Therefore

$$p_2 e^{\alpha_2} = Q_d c_2,$$

where  $c_2$  is a nonzero constant. Substituting it into (7) we have

$$f^n f^{(k)} + (1 - c_2) Q_d = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}.$$

Then by Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2, we have  $c_2 = 1$ ,  $f^n f^{(k)} = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}$ , and  $f = u_5 \exp(\alpha_1/(n+1))$ , where  $u_5$  is a small function of  $f$ . Therefore,

$$(44) \quad p_2 e^{\alpha_2} = Q_d.$$

By substituting  $f = u_5 \exp(\alpha_1/(n+1))$  into (44), we get

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-2} q_l(z) e^{\frac{l\alpha_1}{n+1}} = p_2 e^{\alpha_2},$$

where  $q_l(z)$  are small functions of  $f$ . By Lemma 2.1, there must exist some  $l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n-2$ ) such that  $T(r, e^{\alpha_2 - \frac{l\alpha_1}{n+1}}) = S(r, f)$ , i.e.  $T(r, e^{(n+1)\alpha_2 - l\alpha_1}) = S(r, f)$ . This belongs to Case III (2) in Theorem 1.2.

**Subcase 3.2.2.**  $g(z) \neq 0$ . Then from (37) we have

$$\frac{A_1'}{A_1} + \alpha_1' = \frac{g'}{g}.$$

Therefore

$$A_1 e^{\alpha_1} = g c_3,$$

where  $c_3$  is a nonzero constant.

Substituting it into (30) we have

$$(45) \quad A_2 f^n f^{(k)} - n p_2 f^{n-1} f' f^{(k)} - p_2 f^n f^{(k+1)} = (c_3 - 1)g.$$



Denote  $\varphi = A_2 f f^{(k)} - np_2 f' f^{(k)} - p_2 f f^{(k+1)}$ . If  $c_3 \neq 1$ , then  $\varphi \not\equiv 0$ . Thus by Lemma 2.2, we have  $m(r, \varphi) = S(r, f)$  and  $m(r, f\varphi) = S(r, f)$ . Combining with  $N(r, f) = S(r, f)$ , we have  $T(r, \varphi) = S(r, f)$  and  $T(r, f\varphi) = S(r, f)$ . Then,  $T(r, f) \leq T(r, f\varphi) + T(r, \frac{1}{\varphi}) = S(r, f)$ , which yields a contradiction. Therefore,  $c_3 = 1$  and  $\varphi \equiv 0$ , i.e.,

$$A_2 f f^{(k)} - np_2 f' f^{(k)} - p_2 f f^{(k+1)} = 0.$$

This gives that

$$\frac{p_2'}{p_2} + \alpha_2' = n \frac{f'}{f} + \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}}.$$

Thus

$$(46) \quad f^n f^{(k)} = c_4 p_2 e^{\alpha_2},$$

where  $c_4$  is a nonzero constant. Substituting (46) into (7), we have

$$(47) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{c_4}\right) f^n f^{(k)} + Q_d(z, f) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}.$$

If  $c_4 = 1$ , then we have

$$(48) \quad f^n f^{(k)} = p_2 e^{\alpha_2},$$

and

$$(49) \quad Q_d(z, f) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1}.$$

By using Lemma 2.3 to (48), we have

$$(50) \quad f = u_6(z) e^{\frac{\alpha_2}{n+1}},$$

where  $u_6(z)$  is a small function of  $f$ . Substituting (50) into (49), by using Lemma 2.1, there exists some  $l$  ( $1 \leq l \leq n-2$ ) such that  $T(r, e^{\alpha_1 - \frac{l\alpha_2}{n+1}}) = S(r, f)$ , i.e.,  $T(r, e^{(n+1)\alpha_1 - l\alpha_2}) = S(r, f)$ . This belongs to Case III (3) in Theorem 1.2.

If  $c_4 \neq 1$ , then from (47) we have

$$(51) \quad f^n f^{(k)} + \frac{c_4}{c_4 - 1} Q_d(z, f) = \frac{c_4}{c_4 - 1} p_1 e^{\alpha_1}.$$

By using Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2 to (51), we have

$$Q_d(z, f) \equiv 0.$$

Thus

$$g = (p_2' + p_2 \alpha_2') Q_d - p_2 Q_d' \equiv 0,$$

a contradiction with  $g \not\equiv 0$ .

### 5. Proof of Corollary 1.3

Let  $\alpha_1(z) = a_p z^p + a_{p-1} z^{p-1} + \cdots + a_1 z + a_0$ ,  $\alpha_2(z) = b_q z^q + b_{q-1} z^{q-1} + \cdots + b_1 z + b_0$ . It is well known [1, p. 7] that

$$T(r, e^{\alpha_1}) = \frac{|a_p|}{\pi} r^p + o(r^p) \text{ and } T(r, e^{\alpha_2}) = \frac{|b_q|}{\pi} r^q + o(r^q).$$

Therefore, by combining with Theorem 1.2 we can get the conclusion.

### 6. Proof of Corollary 1.4

Assume that  $f$  is a transcendental meromorphic solution with  $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$  and  $\delta_1(\frac{a}{n}, f) > 0$  of equation (8). Let  $g(z) = f(z) - \frac{a}{n}$ , then  $g$  is a transcendental meromorphic solution with  $\delta(\infty, g) = 1$  and  $\delta_1(0, g) > 0$  of the following differential equation

$$g^n g^{(k)} + Q^*(z, g) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1} + p_2 e^{\alpha_2},$$

where  $Q^*(z, g)$  is a differential equation with degree  $\leq n - 2$ . The conclusion of the theorem follows immediately from Theorem 1.2.

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her thorough review with constructive suggestions and comments on the paper.

### References

- [1] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [2] I. Laine, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, **15**, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1993. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110863147>
- [3] L.-W. Liao and Z. Ye, *On solutions to nonhomogeneous algebraic differential equations and their application*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **97** (2014), no. 3, 391–403. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1446788714000305>
- [4] X. Q. Lu, *Meromorphic solutions of some types of nonlinear complex differential equations*, [Dissertation], Nanjing University, 2017.
- [5] W. R. Lü, F. Lü, L. Wu, and J. Yang, *Meromorphic solutions for a class of differential equations and their applications*, Izv. Nats. Akad. Nauk Armenii Mat. **53** (2018), no. 5, 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.3103/S1068362318050023>
- [6] C.-C. Yang and H.-X. Yi, *Uniqueness theory of meromorphic functions*, Mathematics and its Applications, **557**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [7] J. Zhang, *On transcendental meromorphic solutions of certain type of nonlinear algebraic differential equations*, Adv. Difference Equ. **2016** (2016), Paper No. 300, 13 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-016-1030-0>
- [8] J. Zhang and L. Liao, *A note on Malmquist-Yosida type theorem of higher order algebraic differential equations*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) **38** (2018), no. 2, 471–478. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602\(18\)30761-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0252-9602(18)30761-6)
- [9] J. Zhang, X. P. Xu, and L. W. Liao, *Meromorphic solutions of nonlinear complex differential equations*, (in Chinese), Sci. Sin. Math. **47** (2017), 919–932.

NAN LI  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
QILU NORMAL UNIVERSITY  
JINAN 250013, P. R. CHINA  
*Email address:* [nanli32787310@163.com](mailto:nanli32787310@163.com)

LIANZHONG YANG  
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS  
SHANDONG UNIVERSITY  
JINAN, SHANDONG PROVINCE, 250100, P. R. CHINA  
*Email address:* [lzyang@sdu.edu.cn](mailto:lzyang@sdu.edu.cn)