

## CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATION OF LOCALLY DUALY FLAT FINSLER METRICS

LAYA GHASEMNEZHAD AND BAHMAN REZAEI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study conformal transformations between special class of Finsler metrics named **C**-reducible metrics. This class includes Randers metrics in the form  $F = \alpha + \beta$  and Kropina metric in the form  $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$ . We prove that every conformal transformation between locally dually flat Randers metrics must be homothetic and also every conformal transformation between locally dually flat Kropina metrics must be homothetic.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $F$  be a Finsler metric on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ . For a non-zero vector  $y \in T_x M$ ,  $F$  induces an inner product  $g_y$  on  $T_x M$  by

$$g_y(u, v) := g_{ij}(x, y)u^i v^j = \frac{1}{2}[F^2]_{y^i y^j} u^i v^j.$$

For two arbitrary non-zero vectors  $v, y \in T_x M$  the angle  $\theta(v, y)$  between  $y$  and  $v$  is defined by

$$\cos \theta(y, v) := y_i v^i / F(x, y) \sqrt{g_{ij}(x, y) v^i v^j},$$

where  $y_i := g_{ij}(x, y)y^j$ . It should be remarked that the notion of angle is not symmetric, in that the angle  $\theta(y, v)$  between  $y$  and  $v$  is different from the angle  $\theta(v, y)$  between  $v$  and  $y$  generally. Now assume that  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  be two Finsler metrics on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ . If the angle  $\theta(y, v)$  with respect to  $F$  is equal to the angle  $\bar{\theta}(y, v)$  with respect to  $\bar{F}$  for any vectors  $v, y \in T_x M \setminus \{0\}$  and any  $x \in M$  then  $F$  is called conformal to  $\bar{F}$ . The transformation  $F \rightarrow \bar{F}$  of the metric is called conformal transformation [1, 3].

In conformal geometry, it is one of interesting issues to study the conformal transformation. In [2], S. Basco and X. Cheng obtained the relations between some geometric quantities of two conformally related Finsler metrics and discussed the properties of those conformal transformations which preserve these

---

Received March 30, 2018; Revised May 27, 2018; Accepted August 13, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C60, 53C25.

*Key words and phrases.* conformal transformation, locally dually flat, Randers metric, Kropina metric, **C**-reducible metric.

quantities. Later G. Chen, X. Cheng and Y. Zhu proved that if both conformally related  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  are Douglas metrics of non-Randers type, then the conformal transformation must be homothety, and also conformal transformation between two Finsler metrics of isotropic S-curvature must be homothety [4]. In this paper we study the conformal transformation between two special class of Finsler metrics of locally dually flat type. Locally dually flat Finsler metrics are studied in information geometry and naturally arise from the investigation of the flat information structure, this notion is introduced in [12]. X. Cheng and Y. Tian in 2011 found some equations that characterize locally dually flat Randers metrics [8]. By assuming conformally related two locally dually flat Randers metrics we get the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Every conformal transformation between locally dually flat Randers metrics must be homothetic.*

Moreover in 2016 G. Chen and L. Liu studied locally dually flat Kropina metrics [5]. By using the results of this research we prove the following theorem

**Theorem 1.2.** *Every conformal transformation between locally dually flat Kropina metrics must be homothetic.*

C-reducible Finsler metrics is a special class of Finsler metrics which was introduced by M. Matsumoto. By Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we can get this corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Every conformal transformation between locally dually flat C-reducible Finsler metrics must be homothetic.*

## 2. Preliminaries and notations

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional  $C^\infty$  manifold. Denote by  $T_x M$  as the tangent space at  $x \in M$ , and by  $TM = \cup_{x \in M} T_x M$  as the tangent bundle of  $M$ . Each element of  $TM$  has the form  $(x, y)$ , where  $x \in M$  and  $y \in T_x M$ . Let  $TM_0 = TM \setminus \{0\}$ . The natural projection  $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$  is given by  $\pi(x, y) = x$ . The pull-back tangent bundle  $\pi^* TM$  is a vector bundle over  $TM_0$  whose fiber  $\pi_v^* TM$  at  $v \in TM_0$  is just  $T_x M$ , where  $\pi(v) = x$ . Then

$$\pi^* TM = \{(x, y, v) \mid y \in T_x M_0, v \in T_x M\}.$$

A *Finsler metric* on a manifold  $M$  is a function  $F : TM \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  which has the following properties: (i)  $F$  is  $C^\infty$  on  $TM_0$ ; (ii)  $F(x, \lambda y) = \lambda F(x, y)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ ; and (iii) For any tangent vector  $y \in T_x M$ , the vertical Hessian of  $F^2/2$  given by

$$g_{ij}(x, y) = \left[ \frac{1}{2} F^2 \right]_{y^i y^j}$$

is positive definite.

Every Finsler metric  $F$  induces a spray  $G = y^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - 2G^i(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}$  by (see [11])

$$(1) \quad G^i(x, y) := \frac{1}{4} g^{il}(x, y) \left\{ 2 \frac{\partial g_{jl}}{\partial x^k}(x, y) - \frac{\partial g_{jk}}{\partial x^l}(x, y) \right\} y^j y^k.$$

In Finsler geometry there is a quite important class of metrics called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. An  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is a scalar function  $F$  on  $TM$  defined by  $F := \alpha \phi \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)$ , where  $\phi = \phi(s)$  is a  $C^\infty$  on  $(-b_0, b_0)$  with certain regularity,  $\alpha = \sqrt{a_{ij}(x) y^i y^j}$  is a Riemannian metric and  $\beta = b_i(x) y^i$  is a 1-form on  $M$ . As we know that the geodesic coefficients  $G^i$  of  $F$  and geodesic coefficients  $G_\alpha^i$  of  $\alpha$  are related as follows:

$$(2) \quad G^i = G_\alpha^i + \alpha Q s^i + \alpha^{-1} \Theta \{r_{00} - 2\alpha Q s_0\} y^i + \Psi \{r_{00} - 2\alpha Q s_0\} b^i,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \frac{\phi'}{\phi - s\phi'}, \\ \Theta &= \frac{\phi\phi' - s(\phi\phi'' - \phi'\phi')}{2\{(\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''\}}, \\ \Psi &= \frac{\phi''}{2\{(\phi - s\phi') + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''\}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Randers metric  $F = \alpha + \beta$ , the Kropina metric  $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$ , the generalized Kropina metric  $F = \alpha^{1-m} \beta^m$  and Matsumoto metric  $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\alpha - \beta}$  are  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics with  $\phi(s) = 1 + s$ ,  $\phi(s) = \frac{1}{s}$ ,  $\phi(s) = s^m$  and  $\phi = \frac{1}{1-s}$ , respectively. Denote the Levi-Civita connection of  $\alpha$  by  $\nabla$  and define  $b_{i|j}$  by  $(b_{i|j})\theta^j := db_i - b_j \theta_i^j$ , where  $\theta^i := dx^i$  and  $\theta_i^j := \Gamma_{ik}^j dx^k$ . For a generic  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, we use usually the following notations:

$$r_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} + b_{j|i}), \quad s_{ij} := \frac{1}{2}(b_{i|j} - b_{j|i}).$$

Furthermore, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} r^i_j &:= a^{ik} r_{kj}, \quad r_{00} := r_{ij} y^i y^j, \quad r_{i0} := r_{ij} y^j, \quad r := r_{ij} b^i b^j, \\ s^i_j &:= a^{ik} s_{kj}, \quad s_j := b^i s_{ij}, \quad s_0 := s_i y^i, \quad s_{i0} := s_{ij} y^j, \quad b^2 := b^i b_i. \end{aligned}$$

Randers metric is an important class of Finsler metrics which is a special case of an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics with  $\phi(s) = 1 + s$ . By (2) the spray coefficients  $G^i$  of  $F$  and geodesic coefficients  $G_\alpha^i$  of  $\alpha$  are related as follows:

$$(3) \quad G^i = G_\alpha^i + \left( \frac{e_{00}}{2F} - s_0 \right) y^i + \alpha s^i_0,$$

where  $e_{ij} = r_{ij} + b_i s_j + b_j s_i$  and  $e_{00} = e_{ij} y^i y^j$  [6].

Another  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric that we are interested to study in this paper is Kropina metric. Let  $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$  be a Kropina metric on a manifold  $M$ , then geodesic

coefficients  $G^i(x, y)$  are [13]:

$$(4) \quad G^i = G_\alpha^i - \frac{\alpha^2}{2\beta} s_0^i + \frac{1}{2b^2} \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta} s_0 + r_{00} \right) b^i - \frac{1}{b^2} \left( s_0 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} r_{00} \right) y^i.$$

A Finsler metric on a manifold is said to be locally dually flat if at any point there is a local coordinate system in which the spray coefficients of  $F$  are in the form

$$G^i = -\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} H_{y^j},$$

where  $H = H(x, y)$  is a scalar function on the tangent bundle  $TM$ . Such a coordinate system is called an adapted coordinate system. It is easy to see that every locally Minkowskian metric is locally dually flat. But the converse is not true [7]. In [12], Z. Shen proved that a Finsler metric  $F(x, y)$  on an open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  is dually flat if and only if the following PDEs hold:

$$(5) \quad [F^2]_{x^k y^l y^k} - 2[F^2]_{x^l} = 0.$$

In this case,  $H = -\frac{1}{6} [F^2]_{x^l y^l}$ . Locally dually flat Finsler metrics are studied in Finsler information geometry in [12]. In [7], the authors studied and characterized locally dually flat Randers metrics and obtained the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1** (see [7]). *Let  $F = \alpha + \beta$  be a Randers metric on an open subset  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $F$  is dually flat if and only if in an adapted coordinate system,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy*

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} G_\alpha^i &= (2\theta + \tau\beta)y^i - \alpha^2(\tau b^i - \theta^i), \\ r_{00} &= 2\theta\beta - 5\tau\beta^2 + (3\tau + 2\tau b^2 - 2b_k\theta^k)\alpha^2, \\ s_{i0} &= \beta\theta_i - \theta b_i, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta = \theta_k(x)y^k$  is a 1-form on  $U$ ,  $\theta^i := a^{ik}\theta_k$ , and  $\tau = \tau(x)$  is a scalar function.

The same theorem was studied for Kropina metrics in 2016 as follows.

**Theorem 2.2** (see [5]). *Let  $F = \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta}$  be a Kropina metric on a manifold  $M$ . Then  $F$  is dually flat if and only if in an adapted coordinate system,  $\beta$  and  $\alpha$  satisfy*

$$(7) \quad G_\alpha^i = \frac{1}{b^2} \left[ \alpha^2 \xi^i + (\theta b^2 - \xi) y^i \right],$$

$$(8) \quad r_{00} = \frac{1}{2b^2} \left[ \beta(\theta b^2 + \xi) - 4(\xi_k b^k) \alpha^2 \right],$$

$$(9) \quad s_{k0} = \frac{1}{4b^2} \left[ b^2(\theta b_k - \beta\theta_k) + 7(\beta\xi_k - b_k\xi) \right],$$

where  $\theta = \theta_i(x)y^i$  and  $\xi = \xi_i(x)y^i$  are 1-forms on  $M$  and  $\xi_i := a^{ij}\xi_j$ .

Two Finsler metrics  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  on a manifold  $M$  are said to be conformally related if there is a scalar function  $\sigma(x)$  on  $M$  such that  $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x)}F$ . A Finsler metric which is conformally related to a Minkowski metric is called conformally flat Finsler metric. Let  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  be two conformally related Finsler metrics on an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M$ . It follows from (1) that the geodesic coefficients of  $F$  and  $\bar{F}$  satisfy

$$\bar{G}^i = G^i + \frac{1}{2\bar{F}}\bar{F}_{;k}y^ky^i + \frac{1}{2}\bar{F}\bar{g}^{il}\{\bar{F}_{;k.ly^k} - \bar{F}_{;l}\}.$$

If  $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x)}F$ , then  $\bar{F}_{;k} = \sigma_k e^{\sigma(x)}F$ , where  $\sigma_k := \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial x^k}$  and  $\sigma_0 = \sigma_k y^k$ . Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{G}^i &= G^i + \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_0)y^i + \frac{F}{2}g^{il}\{(\sigma_k y^k)F_{y^l} - \sigma_l F\} \\ (10) \quad &= G^i + (\sigma_0)y^i - \frac{F^2}{2}\sigma^i. \end{aligned}$$

By a conformal change  $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x)}F$  various quantities in  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics are changed as follows:

$$\bar{\alpha} = e^{\sigma(x)}\alpha, \quad \bar{\beta} = e^{\sigma(x)}\beta.$$

Let  $\bar{\alpha} = \sqrt{\bar{a}_{ij}y^i y^j}$ ,  $\bar{\beta} = \bar{b}_i(x)y^i$ . Then  $\bar{a}_{ij} = e^{2\sigma(x)}a_{ij}$ ,  $\bar{a}^{ij} = e^{-2\sigma(x)}a^{ij}$ ,  $\bar{b}_i = e^{\sigma(x)}b_i$ ,  $\bar{b}^i = e^{-\sigma(x)}b^i$ . Further, we have [6]

$$(11) \quad \tilde{b}_{i||j} = e^{\kappa(x)}(b_{i;j} - b_j\kappa_i + f a_{ij}),$$

where  $\tilde{b}_{i||j}$  denote the covariant derivative of  $\bar{b}_i$  with respect to  $\bar{\alpha}$  and  $f := b^m\sigma_m$ . From (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{s}_{ij} &= e^{\sigma(x)}\left[s_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}(b_i\sigma_j - b_j\sigma_i)\right], \\ (12) \quad \bar{r}_{ij} &= e^{\sigma(x)}\left[r_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}(b_i\sigma_j + b_j\sigma_i) + f a_{ij}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Now assume that both  $\bar{F} = \bar{\alpha} + \bar{\beta}$  and  $F = \alpha + \beta$  are Finsler metrics of Randers type. By Theorem 2.1, if  $\bar{F}$  and  $F$  are locally dually flat metrics, then by plugging  $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x)}F$  we obtain the following equations

$$(13) \quad G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i = (2\bar{\theta} + e^{\sigma(x)}\bar{\tau}\beta)y^i - e^{2\sigma(x)}\alpha^2(e^{-\sigma}\bar{\tau}b^i - \bar{\theta}^i),$$

$$(14) \quad \bar{r}_{00} = 2e^{\sigma}\bar{\theta}\beta - 5e^{2\sigma}\bar{\tau}\beta^2 + (3\bar{\tau} + 2\bar{\tau}b^2 - 2e^{\sigma}b_k\bar{\theta}^k)e^{2\sigma}\alpha^2,$$

$$(15) \quad \bar{s}_{i0} = e^{\sigma}\beta\bar{\theta}_i - e^{\sigma}\bar{\theta}b_i,$$

where  $\bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}_k(x)y^k$  is a 1-form on  $U$ ,  $\bar{\theta}^i := \bar{a}^{ik}\bar{\theta}_k$ , and  $\bar{\tau} = \bar{\tau}(x)$  is a scalar function and  $G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i$  denote the spray coefficients of  $\bar{F}$ . We need the following lemma for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\bar{F}$  and  $F$  are two Randers metrics which they are conformally related i.e.,  $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x)}F$ . Then*

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{s}_0 &= e^{\sigma(x)}K(x)s_0, \\ \bar{e}_{00} &= 2e^{\sigma}K(x)\theta\beta - 5e^{2\sigma}Q(x)\tau\beta^2 + 2e^{\sigma}K(x)s_0\beta \\ &\quad + (3Q(x)\tau + 2Q(x)\tau b^2 - 2e^{\sigma}b_kK(x)\theta^k)e^{2\sigma}\alpha^2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $K(x) = \frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta}$  and  $Q(x) = \frac{\bar{\tau}}{\tau}$ .

These equations can be obtained by using (6), (14) and (15). As we know the spray coefficients of two conformally related Finsler metrics satisfy in (10). Plugging (3) into (10), we get

$$(17) \quad G_{\alpha}^i + \left(\frac{\bar{e}_{00}}{2\bar{F}} - \bar{s}_0\right)y^i + \bar{\alpha}s_0^i = G_{\alpha}^i + \left(\frac{e_{00}}{2F} - s_0\right)y^i + \alpha s_0^i + \sigma_0 y^i - \frac{F^2}{2}\sigma^i.$$

By replacing quantities that we get in (6), (13) and (16) in (17), one can see

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (2K(x)\theta + e^{\sigma}Q(x)\tau\beta)y^i - e^{2\sigma}\alpha^2(Q(x)\tau e^{-\sigma}b^i - K(x)\theta^i) \\ &\quad + \left\{\frac{1}{2e^{\sigma}F}(-5e^{2\sigma}Q(x)\tau\beta^2 + e^{\sigma}K(x)\theta\beta + 2e^{\sigma}K(x)s_0\beta) - s_0\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ 2(3Q(x)\tau + 2Q(x)\tau b^2 - 2e^{\sigma}b_kK(x)\theta^k)e^{2\sigma}\alpha^2\right\}y^i - \left\{-s_0\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \frac{1}{2F}(2\theta\beta + 2s_0\beta - 5\tau\beta^2 + \alpha^2(3\tau + 2\tau b^2 - 2b_k\theta^k))\right\}y^i - \alpha^2\theta^i \\ &\quad - (2\theta + \tau\beta)y^i + e^{2\sigma}\alpha(\beta K(x)\theta^i - b^i K(x)\theta) - \alpha(\beta\theta^i - \theta b^i) \\ &\quad + \alpha^2\tau b^i - \sigma_0 y^i + \frac{F^2}{2}\sigma^i. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying both sides of the equation mentioned above by  $2F$  to remove the denominators and sort this equation by  $\alpha$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha^3\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^i + K(x)e^{2\sigma}\theta^i + \tau b^i - Q(x)\tau e^{\sigma}b^i - \theta^i\right\} + \alpha^2\left\{K(x)e^{2\sigma}\theta^i\beta + \frac{3}{2}\sigma^i\beta\right. \\ &\quad \left.- y^i\tau b^2 + b^i K(x)e^{2\sigma}\theta - y^i e^{2\sigma}b_kK(x)\theta^k + y^i e^{\sigma}Q(x)\tau b^2 + \frac{3}{2}y^i e^{\sigma}Q(x)\tau\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \tau b^i\beta + b^i\theta - Q(x)\tau e^{\sigma}b^i\beta - 2\beta\theta^i + y^i b_k\theta^k + e^{2\sigma}\beta K(x)\theta^i - \frac{3}{2}y^i\tau\right\} \\ &\quad + \alpha\left\{2y^i K(x)\theta + e^{2\sigma}\beta^2 K(x)\theta^i + y^i e^{\sigma}Q(x)\tau\beta - \beta^2\theta^i - \sigma_0 y^i - y^i\tau\beta\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ b^i\theta\beta - e^{2\sigma}b^i K(x)\theta\beta - 2y^i\theta + \frac{3}{2}\sigma^i\beta^2\right\} - \sigma_0 y^i\beta + K(x)(y^i s_0\beta + 3y^i\theta\beta) \\ &\quad - 3y^i\theta\beta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^i\beta^3 - y^i s_0\beta + \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)y^i\tau\beta^2 - \frac{3}{2}y^i e^{\sigma}Q(x)\tau\beta^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite this equation as the following

$$0 = \alpha\left\{\alpha^2\left\{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^i + K(x)e^{2\sigma}\theta^i + \tau b^i - Q(x)\tau e^{\sigma}b^i - \theta^i\right\} + \alpha\left\{K(x)e^{2\sigma}\theta^i\beta\right.\right.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{3}{2}\sigma^i\beta + b^i K(x)e^{2\sigma}\theta - Q(x)\tau e^\sigma b^i\beta - y^i\tau b^2 + y^i e^\sigma Q(x)\tau b^2 + \frac{3}{2}y^i e^\sigma Q(x)\tau \\
& + y^i b_k \theta^k + b^i \theta - y^i e^{2\sigma} b_k K(x)\theta^k - 2\beta\theta^i + \tau b^i\beta + e^{2\sigma}\beta K(x)\theta^i - \frac{3}{2}y^i\tau \} \\
& + e^{2\sigma}\beta^2 K(x)\theta^i + y^i e^\sigma Q(x)\tau\beta - \beta^2\theta^i - y^i(\tau\beta + \sigma_0 - 2K(x)\theta) + \theta(b^i\beta - 2y^i) \\
& - e^{2\sigma}b^i K(x)\theta\beta + \frac{3}{2}\sigma^i\beta^2 \} - \left\{ \sigma_0 y^i\beta - y^i K(x)s_0\beta + 3y^i\theta\beta + \frac{3}{2}y^i e^\sigma Q(x)\tau\beta^2 \right. \\
& \left. - 3y^i K(x)\theta\beta + y^i s_0\beta - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^i\beta^3 - \frac{3}{2}y^i\tau\beta^2 \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this equation, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
(18) \quad 0 & = \sigma_0 y^i\beta - y^i K(x)s_0\beta + 3y^i\theta\beta - 3y^i K(x)\theta\beta \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^i\beta^3 + y^i s_0\beta + \frac{3}{2}y^i e^\sigma Q(x)\tau\beta^2 - \frac{3}{2}y^i\tau\beta^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By contracting (18) with  $y_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(19) \quad 0 & = \alpha^2\sigma_0\beta + \alpha^2 K(x)s_0\beta + 3\alpha^2\theta\beta - 3\alpha^2 K(x)\theta\beta \\
& - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_0\beta^3 + \alpha^2 s_0\beta + \frac{3}{2}\alpha^2 e^\sigma Q(x)\tau\beta^2 - \frac{3}{2}\alpha^2\tau\beta^2.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (22) that

$$\begin{aligned}
(20) \quad & \left\{ K(x)s_0\beta + 3\theta\beta - 3K(x)\theta\beta + \frac{3}{2}e^\sigma Q(x)\tau\beta^2 + \sigma_0\beta - \frac{3}{2}\tau\beta^2 + s_0\beta \right\} \alpha^2 \\
& = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_0\beta^3.
\end{aligned}$$

This equality holds if and only if  $\sigma^i y_i \beta^3 = 0$  then

$$\sigma^i = 0.$$

It means that  $\sigma$  must be constant function, i.e., conformal transformation must be homothetic.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section we try to prove Theorem 1.2. Let  $\bar{F}$  be a Kropina metric that satisfy dually flat conditions. Then Theorem 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i & = \frac{1}{b^2} \left[ \bar{\alpha}^2 \bar{\xi}^i + (\bar{\theta}b^2 - \bar{\xi})y^i \right], \\
\bar{r}_{00} & = \frac{1}{2b^2} \left[ \bar{\beta}(\bar{\theta}b^2 + \bar{\xi}) - 4(\bar{\xi}_k \bar{b}^k)\bar{\alpha}^2 \right], \\
\bar{s}_{k0} & = \frac{1}{4b^2} \left[ b^2(\bar{\theta}\bar{b}_k - \bar{\beta}\bar{\theta}_k) + 7(\bar{\beta}\bar{\xi}_k - \bar{b}_k\bar{\xi}) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\theta} = \bar{\theta}_i(x)y^i$  and  $\bar{\xi} = \bar{\xi}_i(x)y^i$  are 1-forms on  $M$  and  $\bar{\xi}_i := \bar{a}^{ij}\bar{\xi}_j$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\bar{F}$  and  $F$  are two dually flat Kropina metrics which they are conformally related, i.e.,  $\bar{F} = e^{\sigma(x)}F$ . Then*

$$(21) \quad \bar{r}_{00} = \frac{e^\sigma}{2b^2} [\beta(K\theta b^2 + Q\xi) - 4(Q\xi_k b^k)\alpha^2],$$

$$(22) \quad \bar{G}_{\bar{\alpha}}^i = \frac{1}{b^2} [e^{2\sigma}\alpha^2 Q\xi^i + (K\theta b^2 - Q\xi)y^i],$$

$$(23) \quad \bar{s}^i_0 = \frac{1}{4b^2} [b^2(Ke^{-\sigma}\theta b^i - e^\sigma\beta K\theta^i) + 7(e^\sigma\beta Q\xi^i - e^{-\sigma}b^i Q\xi)],$$

$$(24) \quad \bar{s}_0 = \frac{1}{4b^2} [b^2K(\theta b^2 - \beta\theta_k b^k) + 7Q(\beta\xi_k b^k - b^2\xi)],$$

where  $\frac{\bar{\theta}}{\theta} = K(x)$  and  $\frac{\bar{\xi}}{\xi} = Q(x)$ .

Proof of this lemma by using (7)-(9) is straight.

By contracting (12) by  $y^i$  and  $y^j$  we have following equality

$$(25) \quad \bar{r}_{00} = e^{\sigma(x)}(r_{00} + f\alpha^2 - \beta\sigma_0).$$

Input (8) and (21) into (25) we can get

$$0 = \frac{e^\sigma}{2b^2} [\beta(K\theta b^2 + Q\xi) - 4(Q\xi_k b^k)\alpha^2] - e^\sigma \left\{ \frac{1}{2b^2} [\beta(\theta b^2 + \xi) - 4(\xi_k b^k)\alpha^2] + f\alpha^2 - \beta\sigma_0 \right\}.$$

To remove denominators multiply both sides of equation mentioned above by  $2b^2$  and sort by  $\alpha$

$$0 = e^\sigma \left\{ [-4(Q\xi_k b^k) + 4(\xi_k b^k) - 2b^2 f]\alpha^2 + [(K\theta b^2 + Q\xi) - (\theta b^2 + \xi) + 2b^2\sigma_0]\beta \right\}.$$

We know that  $\alpha^2$  and  $\beta$  are relatively prime polynomial then

$$(26) \quad 0 = 2(Q\xi_k b^k) - 2(\xi_k b^k) + b^2 f,$$

$$(27) \quad 0 = (K\theta b^2 + Q\xi) - (\theta b^2 + \xi) + 2b^2\sigma_0.$$

Moreover the relation between spray geodesic coefficients of two conformally related Finser metrics are as follows:

$$G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i = G_{\alpha}^i + \sigma_0 y^i - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \sigma^i.$$

By replacing (7) and (22) into this very equation we can get

$$0 = \frac{1}{b^2} [e^{2\sigma} Q\xi^i \alpha^2 + (K\theta b^2 - Q\xi)y^i] - \frac{1}{b^2} [\alpha^2 \xi^i + (\theta b^2 - \xi)y^i] - \sigma_0 y^i + \frac{\alpha^2}{2} \sigma^i.$$

Multiply both sides of this equation by  $2b^2\beta^2 b_i$

$$0 = \left\{ 2e^{2\sigma} Q\xi^i b_i - 2\xi^i b_i + b^2 f \right\} \alpha^2$$

$$+ \left\{ 2(K\theta b^2 - Q\xi) - 2(\theta b^2 - \xi) - 2b^2\sigma_0 \right\} \beta.$$

This means that

$$(28) \quad 0 = 2e^{2\sigma} Q\xi^i b_i - 2\xi^i b_i + b^2 f,$$

$$(29) \quad 0 = 2(K\theta b^2 - Q\xi) - 2(\theta b^2 - \xi) - 2b^2\sigma_0.$$

By (28) – (26) we have the following

$$2Q\xi^i b_i (e^{2\sigma} - 1) = 0.$$

Because of  $\sigma = \sigma(x)$  is nonzero function then

$$(30) \quad Q\xi^i b_i = 0.$$

Replace equation (30) into (26)

$$(31) \quad \xi_i b^i = \frac{1}{2} b^2 f.$$

Moreover by computing (27)  $\times 2 - (29)$  we can get

$$4Q\xi - 4\xi + 6b^2\sigma_0 = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $y^i$  and contracting by  $b^i$  we have

$$(32) \quad 4Q\xi_i b^i - 4\xi_i b^i + 6b^2 f = 0.$$

By using (30) and (31), equation (32) can simplified as follows

$$4b^2 f = 0.$$

This means that  $f = 0$ . By (27)  $\times 2 - (29)$  we can get

$$(33) \quad 2b^2(2K\theta - 2\theta - \sigma_0) = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to  $y^i$  and contracting by  $b^i$  we have

$$2K\theta_i b^i - 2\theta_i b^i - f = 0.$$

By the above calculation we know that  $f = 0$ , then

$$(34) \quad \theta_i b^i (K - 1) = 0.$$

**Case 1:** Let  $K = 1$  then by (33) we have

$$\sigma_0 = 0,$$

i.e., the conformal transformation must be homothetic.

**Case 2:** In the case of  $\theta_i b^i = 0$ , geodesic coefficients of  $\bar{F}$  by (4) is as follows

$$(35) \quad \bar{G}^i = G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i - \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{2\bar{\beta}} \bar{s}_0^i + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2} \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}} \bar{s}_0 + \bar{r}_{00} \right) \bar{b}^i - \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2} \left( \bar{s}_0 + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}^2} \bar{r}_{00} \right) y^i.$$

By replacing (4), (35) into (10) we have

$$0 = G_{\bar{\alpha}}^i - \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{2\bar{\beta}} \bar{s}_0^i + \frac{1}{2\bar{b}^2} \left( \frac{\bar{\alpha}^2}{\bar{\beta}} \bar{s}_0 + \bar{r}_{00} \right) \bar{b}^i - \frac{1}{\bar{b}^2} \left( \bar{s}_0 + \frac{\bar{\beta}}{\bar{\alpha}^2} \bar{r}_{00} \right) y^i - G_{\alpha}^i$$

$$(36) \quad + \frac{\alpha^2}{2\beta} s_0^i - \frac{1}{2b^2} \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta} s_0 + r_{00} \right) b^i + \frac{1}{b^2} \left( s_0 + \frac{\beta}{\alpha^2} r_{00} \right) y^i - (\sigma_k y^k) y^i + \frac{F^2}{2} \sigma^i.$$

By using (9), it is easy to get the following quantities for dually flat Kropina metric  $F$

$$(37) \quad s_0^i = \frac{1}{4b^2} \left[ b^2(\theta b^i - \beta \theta^i) + 7(\beta \xi^i - b^i \xi) \right],$$

$$(38) \quad s_0 = \frac{1}{4b^2} \left[ b^2(\theta b^2 - \beta \theta_k b^k) + 7(\beta \xi_k b^k - b^2 \xi) \right].$$

Replace (7)-(9), (21)-(24) and (37), (38) into (36)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \frac{1}{b^2} \left[ e^{2\sigma} \alpha^2 Q \xi^i + (K \theta b^2 - Q \xi) y^i \right] + \frac{b^i}{4b^4} \left[ \beta (K \theta b^2 + Q \xi) - 4(Q \xi_k b^k) \alpha^2 \right] b^i \\ & - \frac{e^\sigma \alpha^2}{8\beta b^2} \left[ b^2 (K e^{-\sigma} \theta b^i - e^\sigma \beta K \theta^i) + 7(e^\sigma \beta Q \xi^i - e^{-\sigma} b^i Q \xi) \right] + \frac{2\beta y^i}{b^2} Q \xi_k b^k \\ & + \frac{\alpha^2 b^i}{8b^4 \beta} \left[ b^2 K (\theta b^2 - \beta \theta_k b^k) + 7Q (\beta \xi_k b^k - b^2 \xi) \right] - \frac{\beta^2 y^i}{2b^2 \alpha^2} (K \theta b^2 + Q \xi) \\ & - \frac{y^i}{4b^4} \left[ b^2 K (\theta b^2 - \beta \theta_k b^k) + 7Q (\beta \xi_k b^k - b^2 \xi) \right] - \frac{1}{b^2} \left[ \alpha^2 \xi^i + (\theta b^2 - \xi) y^i \right] \\ & + \frac{\alpha^2}{8\beta b^4} \left[ b^2 (\theta b^i - \beta \theta^i) + 7(\beta \xi^i - b^i \xi) \right] + \frac{\beta y^i}{2\alpha^2 b^4} \left[ \beta (\theta b^2 + \xi) - 4(\xi_k b^k) \alpha^2 \right] \\ & - \frac{\alpha^2 b^i}{8\beta b^4} \left[ b^2 (\theta b^2 - \beta \theta_k b^k) + 7(\beta \xi_k b^k - b^2 \xi) \right] - \frac{b^i}{4b^4} \left[ \beta (\theta b^2 + \xi) - 4(\xi_k b^k) \alpha^2 \right] \\ & + \frac{y^i}{4b^4} \left[ b^2 (\theta b^2 - \beta \theta_k b^k) + 7(\beta \xi_k b^k - b^2 \xi) \right] - \sigma_0 y^i + \frac{F^2}{2} \sigma^i. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides of this equation by  $b_i$  and replace  $\xi_i b^i = \theta_i b^i = f = 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \frac{1}{b^2} [K \theta b^2 - Q \xi] \beta - \frac{\alpha^2}{8\beta b^2} [b^4 K \theta - 7b^2 Q \xi] + \frac{\alpha^2}{8b^2 \beta} [b^4 K \theta - 7Q b^2 \xi] \\ & + \frac{1}{4b^2} [\beta (K \theta b^2 + Q \xi)] - \frac{\beta}{4b^4} [b^4 K \theta - 7Q b^2 \xi] + \frac{\beta^2}{2b^4 \alpha^2} [\beta (K \theta b^2 + Q \xi)] \\ & - \frac{1}{b^2} [(\theta b^2 - \xi) \beta] + \frac{\alpha^2}{8\beta b^2} [b^4 \theta - 7b^2 \xi] - \frac{\alpha^2}{8\beta b^2} [b^2 \theta b^2 - 7b^2 \xi] \\ (39) \quad & - \frac{1}{4b^2} [\beta (\theta b^2 + \xi)] b^2 + \frac{\beta}{4b^4} [b^4 \theta b^2 - 7b^2 \xi] + \frac{\beta^2}{2b^4 \alpha^2} [\beta (\theta b^2 + \xi)] - \sigma_0 \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Multiply both sides of equation mentioned above by  $8b^4 \alpha^2 \beta$  to remove denominators and sort it by  $\alpha$ , So we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \alpha^2 \left\{ 8K \theta b^4 \beta^2 - 8b^2 Q \xi \beta^2 + 2\beta^2 [K \theta b^4 + Q \xi b^2] - 2\beta^2 [b^4 K \theta - 7Q b^2 \xi] \right. \\ & \left. - 8b^2 \beta^2 [\theta b^2 - \xi] - 2\beta^2 [\theta b^4 + \xi b^2] + 2\beta^2 [b^4 \theta - 7b^2 \xi] - 8b^4 \beta^2 \sigma_0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$-4\beta^4[K\theta b^2 + Q\xi] + 4\beta^4[\theta b^2 + \xi].$$

Then we get

$$0 = 4\beta^2 \left\{ 2\alpha^2[K\theta b^4 + Q\xi b^2 - \theta b^4 - \xi b^2 - b^4\sigma_0] + \beta^2[-K\theta b^2 - Q\xi + \theta b^2 + \xi] \right\}.$$

Since  $\beta \neq 0$  then

$$0 = 2\alpha^2[K\theta b^4 + Q\xi b^2 - \theta b^4 - \xi b^2 - b^4\sigma_0] + \beta^2[-K\theta b^2 - Q\xi + \theta b^2 + \xi].$$

$\alpha^2$  and  $\beta^2$  are relatively prime, this means that the coefficients of  $\beta^2$  and  $\alpha^2$  must be equal to zero

$$(40) \quad 0 = -K\theta b^2 - Q\xi + \theta b^2 + \xi,$$

$$(41) \quad 0 = b^2[K\theta b^2 + Q\xi - \theta b^2 - \xi] - b^4\sigma_0.$$

Replace (40) into (41) we get

$$\sigma_0 = 0.$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

**C**-reducible Finsler metrics is a class of Finsler spaces which their Cartan torsion has special form. these spaces first were introduced by M. Matsumoto [9]. In [10] Matsumoto and Hojo proved that a Finsler space is **C**-reducible, if and only if the space is either a Randers or a Kropina space. By Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 we can obtain Corollary 1.3.

At the end, we improved the result into general case and get the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Every conformal transformation between locally dually flat Finsler metrics must be homothetic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{F}$  and  $F$  be conformally related Finsler metrics  $\bar{F} = e^\sigma F$  and both of them are locally dually flat, then we have

$$[\bar{F}^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - 2[\bar{F}^2]_{x^l} = 0, \quad [F^2]_{x^k y^l} y^k - 2[F^2]_{x^l} = 0.$$

Substituting the relation  $\bar{F} = e^\sigma F$  into second equation and by making use the first one, we get following equation

$$(42) \quad 4e^{2\sigma}(\sigma_k F F_{y^l} y^k - \sigma_l F^2) = 0.$$

If we put  $h_l^k = F^2 \delta_l^k - F F_{y^l} y^k$ , then  $\sigma_k h_l^k = 0$ . By fundamental tensor  $g_{ij}$  we may also write it as

$$\sigma^j h_{lj} = 0,$$

where  $h_{lj} = F^2(g_{lj} - F_{y^l} F_{y^j})$  and  $\sigma^j = \sigma_l g^{lj}$ . Matrix  $h_{lj}$  is symmetric of rank  $n - 1$ , whose kernel is spanned by the vector  $(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ . So the vector  $(\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^n)$  is proportional to  $(y^1, \dots, y^n)$ , namely

$$\sigma^k = \lambda y^k$$

for some function  $\lambda = \lambda(x, y)$ . Lowering indices yields

$$\sigma_j = \lambda g_{jk} y^k = \lambda F F_{y^j}.$$

Namely, the vector  $(\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^n)$  is proportional to  $(F F_{y^1}, \dots, F F_{y^n})$ . However, we know that the Legendre transformation, which sends the vector  $(y^1, \dots, y^n)$  to  $(F F_{y^1}, \dots, F F_{y^n})$ , is a diffeomorphism between  $T_x M \setminus \{0\}$  and  $T_x^* M \setminus \{0\}$ . For each fixed  $x$ , one can choose two values of  $y$  such the corresponding vectors  $(F F_{y^1}, \dots, F F_{y^n})$  are linearly independent. Being proportional to these two vectors,  $(\sigma^1, \dots, \sigma^n)$  must be zero. As a result,  $\sigma$  is a constant.  $\square$

### References

- [1] P. L. Antonelli, R. S. Ingarden, and M. Matsumoto, *The Theory of Sprays and Finsler Spaces with Applications in Physics and Biology*, Fundamental Theories of Physics, **58**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [2] S. Basco and X. Cheng, *Finsler conformal transformations and the curvature invariants*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **70** (2007), no. 1-2, 221–231.
- [3] D. Bao, S.-S. Chern, and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemann-Finsler Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **200**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [4] G. Chen, X. Cheng, and Y. Zou, *On conformal transformations between two  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics*, Differential Geom. Appl. **31** (2013), no. 2, 300–307.
- [5] G. Chen and L. Liu, *On dually flat Kropina metrics*, Internat. J. Math. **27** (2016), no. 12, 1650095, 13 pp.
- [6] X. Cheng and Z. Shen, *Finsler Geometry*, Science Press Beijing, Beijing, 2012.
- [7] X. Cheng, Z. Shen, and Y. Zhou, *On locally dually flat Finsler metrics*, Internat. J. Math. **21** (2010), no. 11, 1531–1543.
- [8] X. Cheng and Y. Tian, *Locally dually flat Finsler metrics with special curvature properties*, Differential Geom. Appl. **29** (2011), suppl. 1, S98–S106.
- [9] M. Matsumoto, *Theory of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric*, Rep. Math. Phys. **31** (1992), no. 1, 43–83.
- [10] M. Matsumoto and S. Hojo, *A conclusive theorem on C-reducible Finsler spaces*, Tensor (N.S.) **32** (1978), no. 2, 225–230.
- [11] Z. Shen, *Differential Geometry of Spray and Finsler Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2001.
- [12] ———, *Riemann-Finsler geometry with applications to information geometry*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B **27** (2006), no. 1, 73–94.
- [13] X. Zhang and Y.-B. Shen, *On Einstein-Kropina metrics*, Differential Geom. Appl. **31** (2013), no. 1, 80–92.

LAYA GHASEMNEZHAD  
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
 URMIA UNIVERSITY  
 URMIA, IRAN  
 Email address: l.ghasemnezhad@urmia.ac.ir

BAHMAN REZAEI  
 FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
 URMIA UNIVERSITY  
 URMIA, IRAN  
 Email address: b.rezae@urmia.ac.ir