

A STABILITY RESULT FOR P-CENTROID BODIES

LUJUN GUO, GANGSONG LENG, AND YOUJIANG LIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove a stability result for p -centroid bodies with respect to the Hausdorff distance. As its application, we show that the symmetric convex body is determined by its p -centroid body.

1. Introduction

The centroid body ΓK of a convex body $K \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a classical notion from geometry (see e.g. [3, 7, 9, 11, 12, 23, 24]) that has attracted much attention in recent years. The name centroid body was first given and investigated by Petty [22], but the concept had previously appeared in work of Dupin, in connection with problems for floating bodies (see e.g., the book of Schneider [23], Section 7.4). If K is an origin symmetric convex body, it turns out that ΓK is bounded by the locus of the centroids of all the halves of K obtained by cutting K with hyperplanes through the origin.

The concept of a centroid body had a natural extension in what became known as the L_p Brunn-Minkowski theory and its dual (see e.g., [13, 14]). For each real number $p \geq 1$, the p -centroid body $\Gamma_p K$ of a convex body K is defined by its support function:

$$(1) \quad h_{\Gamma_p K}(x) = \left(\frac{1}{V(K)} \int_K |x \cdot y|^p dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where the integration is with respect to Lebesgue measure. This body is homothetic to the p -centroid body defined by Lutwak and Zhang in [18] (see also [15]).

For $p = 1$, the set $\Gamma_1 K$ is known in the literature as the centroid body ΓK of K .

Received November 2, 2016; Revised May 15, 2017; Accepted June 8, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A20, 52A40.

Key words and phrases. p -centroid body, convex body, spherical integral transformation, p -cosine transformation.

The authors would like to acknowledge the support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11526079 and No. 11271244), the Basic and Advanced Research Project of CQCSTC cstc2015jcyjA00009 and Scientific and Technological Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission KJ1500628.

For $p = 2$, $\Gamma_2 K$ is homothetic to the Legendre ellipsoid of K , which arises in classical mechanics in connection with the moments of inertia of K (see e.g., [19]).

$\Gamma_\infty K$ is interpreted as a limit of (1), as $p \rightarrow \infty$, then $\Gamma_\infty K = \text{conv}(K \cup (-K))$, where *conv* stands for the convex hull. Such a body was investigated by Fáry and Rédei [3] in the framework of affine inequalities related to the geometry of numbers.

The p -centroid bodies have recently been studied by different authors (see e.g. [1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 15, 16, 18, 20, 21, 26, 27] etc.). In [5], Gardner and Giannopoulos established an inclusion for p -cross-section bodies and applied this to disprove a conjecture of Makai and Martini. In [18], Lutwak and Zhang established the centro-affine inequality involving the volumes of K and its polar p -centroid body. The L_p Busemann-Petty centroid inequality was established by Lutwak, Yang and Zhang in [15] with an independent approach presented by Campi and Gronchi [1]. In [4], Fleury, Guédon and Paouris proved a type of stability result for p -centroid bodies with respect to the geometric distance. The Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski theory originated with the work of Lutwak, Yang and Zhang in 2010 and the topics of Orlicz centroid bodies are treated in [17, 25].

The geometric distance between two symmetric convex bodies K and L is defined by

$$d(K, L) = \inf\{ab \mid a, b > 0 \text{ and } \frac{1}{a}K \subset L \subset bK\}.$$

In [4], Fleury, Guédon and Paouris proved the following stability result for origin symmetric convex bodies.

Theorem A ([4]). *There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every integer d greater than 3 and any odd integer $p \leq d$, we have the following property: if K is a symmetric convex body in \mathbb{R}^d such that for some $a > 1$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, (ca)^{-2d^3})$,*

$$d(K, B^d) \leq a \quad \text{and} \quad d(\Gamma_p \tilde{K}, \Gamma_p \tilde{B}^d) \leq 1 + \varepsilon,$$

where $\tilde{K} = V(K)^{-\frac{1}{d}}K$ and $\tilde{B}^d = V(B^d)^{-\frac{1}{d}}B^d$, then

$$d(K, B^d) \leq 1 + h(\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - h(\varepsilon))\Gamma_p \tilde{B}^d \subset \Gamma_p \tilde{K} \subset (1 + h(\varepsilon))\Gamma_p \tilde{B}^d,$$

where $h(\varepsilon) = (ca)^{d+p+1}\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{d^2}}$.

In this paper, we will prove a stability result for the convex bodies from their p -centroid bodies with respect to the Hausdorff distance $\delta(K, L)$. Let $\mathcal{H}_e^d(r, R)$ be the set of origin symmetric convex bodies K satisfying $rB^d \subset K \subset RB^d$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $K, L \in \mathcal{H}_e^d(r, R)$ such that $V(K) = V(L)$, $p \geq 1$, $p \neq 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If, for some $\varepsilon \geq 0$,*

$$\delta(\Gamma_p K, \Gamma_p L) \leq \varepsilon,$$

then

$$\delta(K, L) \leq c(d, r, R, p) \varepsilon^{\frac{2}{(d+1)(d+4)}}$$

with an explicit constant $c(d, r, R, p)$ depending only on d, r, R, p .

From Theorem 1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary. *Let $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_e^d(r, R)$ such that $V(K) = V(L)$. If for some $p \geq 1$, $p \neq 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma_p K = \Gamma_p L$, then $K = L$.*

2. Background and materials

For quick later reference, we collect in this section background materials regarding convex bodies (see e.g. the book of Schneider [23]). We also state some known facts about spherical harmonics (see e.g. the book of Groemer [6]).

Let \mathbb{R}^d denote the d -dimensional Euclidean space with corresponding Euclidean norm $|\cdot|$. Let B^d denote the origin centered standard unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . The set S^{d-1} is the unit sphere of \mathbb{R}^d and σ is its spherical Lebesgue measure. Write κ_d for $V(B^d)$ the volume of B^d and ω_d for $\sigma(S^{d-1})$ the surface area of B^d .

A set K in \mathbb{R}^n is a star-shaped (about the origin) if every straight line passing through the origin crosses the boundary of K at exactly two points different from the origin. The radial function $\rho_K = \rho(K, \cdot) : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, of a compact, star-shaped (about the origin) $K \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is defined by

$$\rho_K(v) := \max\{\lambda \geq 0 : \lambda v \in K\} \quad \text{for } v \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

If ρ_K is positive and continuous, K is called a star body (about the origin).

A convex body K is a compact, convex set with non-empty interiors. Let $V(K)$ denote the volume of K . Associated with a convex body K is its support function h_K defined for $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ by $h_K(x) := \max\{x \cdot y : y \in K\}$. The function h_K is positively homogeneous of degree 1. We will usually be concerned with the restriction of the support function to the unit sphere S^{d-1} .

The Hausdorff distance between convex bodies K, L is defined by

$$\delta(K, L) := \min\{\lambda \geq 0 \mid K \subset L + \lambda B^d, L \subset K + \lambda B^d\}.$$

In terms of the support function, the Hausdorff distance between convex bodies K, L can also be expressed as follows,

$$(2) \quad \delta(K, L) = \max_{u \in S^{d-1}} |h_K(u) - h_L(u)|.$$

If f is a real valued function on S^{d-1} , let

$$f^+(u) := \frac{1}{2}(f(u) + f(-u)), \quad f^-(u) := \frac{1}{2}(f(u) - f(-u)).$$

Then, $f = f^+ + f^-$, and f^+ is an even function and f^- is an odd function on S^{d-1} .

For each real number $p \geq 1$, let \mathcal{C}_p denote the p -cosine transformation on S^{d-1} , i.e., for each bounded integrable function f on S^{d-1} , let $\mathcal{C}_p f$ be the function defined by

$$\mathcal{C}_p(f)(u) = \int_{S^{d-1}} |u \cdot v|^p f(v) d\sigma(v).$$

for $u \in S^{d-1}$.

Let $L_2(S^{d-1})$ denote the class of all real valued Lebesgue integral functions f on S^{d-1} with the property that $\int_{S^{d-1}} f^2(u) d\sigma(u) < \infty$. If $f, g \in L_2(S^{d-1})$, the inner product $\langle f, g \rangle$ is defined by $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{S^{d-1}} f(u)g(u) d\sigma(u)$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm derived from this inner product. Two functions f, g from $L_2(S^{d-1})$ are said to be orthogonal if $\langle f, g \rangle = 0$. A sequence H_0, H_1, \dots with $H_i \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ and $\|H_i\| \neq 0$, for all i , will be called an orthogonal sequence if $\langle H_i, H_j \rangle = 0$ whenever $i \neq j$. If $f \in L_2(S^{d-1})$ and H_0, H_1, \dots is a given orthogonal sequence, then $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i$ is called the Fourier series of f , where the numbers $\alpha_i = \frac{\langle f, H_i \rangle}{\|H_i\|^2}$. To indicate that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i$ is the Fourier series of a given function f , we write

$$(3) \quad f \sim \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i.$$

Let ∇ denote the gradient. If f is a function whose domain is a subject of \mathbb{R}^d that contains S^{d-1} , we write f^\wedge for the restriction of f to S^{d-1} . On the other hand, if f is defined on S^{d-1} , we let f^\vee denote the radial extension of f to $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$. This means that $f^\vee(x) = f(\frac{x}{|x|})$. Using the above extension procedure one can transfer the gradient to the operator acting on functions on S^{d-1} . We define ∇_o by $\nabla_o f = (\nabla f^\vee)^\wedge$. So $\nabla_o f$ exists if f is, respectively, twice or once differentiable.

Let \mathcal{H}_n^d denote the space of all spherical harmonics of degree n in d variables and \mathcal{H}^d the space of all finite sums of spherical harmonics of dimension d . If H_0, H_1, \dots is a standard sequence of d -dimensional spherical harmonics, the relation of (3) will also be expressed by saying that $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i H_i$ is a harmonic expansion of f . We define $Q_n = \sum_{\chi(H_i)=n} \alpha_i H_i$, where $\chi(H_i)$ is the order of the spherical harmonic H_i , and call $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n$ the condensed harmonic expansion, or simply the condensed expansion of f and write again

$$(4) \quad f \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n.$$

From the definition of the Fourier coefficients, it follows immediately that

$$(5) \quad \|f - \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i H_i\|^2 = \|f\|^2 - \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i^2 \|H_i\|^2.$$

An obvious consequence of (5) is the fact that equality $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f - \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i H_i\| = 0$ holds if and only if $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i^2 \|H_i\|^2$. The latter relation is called Parseval's equation.

If f is twice differentiable, from (4) and Parseval's equation, one can have the following classical conclusion

$$(6) \quad \|\nabla_o f\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+d-2) \|Q_n\|^2.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([6]). *Let f and g be two continuous functions on S^{d-1} with respective contensed harmonic expansions*

$$f \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n, \quad g \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n Q_n,$$

and assume that $Q_n = 0$ whenever $\xi_n = 0$. If ξ signifies the sequence ξ_0, ξ_1, \dots and if $t > 0$ is given, let $\Gamma(f, \xi, t)$ be defined by

$$(7) \quad \Gamma(f, \xi, t) = \sum_{\xi_n \neq 0} |\xi_n|^{-t} \|Q_n\|^2,$$

provided this series converges. Then

$$(8) \quad \|f\| \leq \Gamma(f, \xi, t)^{\frac{1}{t+2}} \|g\|^{\frac{t}{t+2}}.$$

3. Proof of the main result

The following result is crucial to prove our stability result for p -centroid bodies. A simple proof is given by Kolodobsky [10].

Lemma 3.1. *If $H \in \mathcal{H}_n^d$ ($d \geq 2$) and $p \geq 1$, $p \neq 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then, for all $u \in S^{d-1}$,*

$$\mathcal{C}_p(H)(u) = \int_{S^{d-1}} |u \cdot v|^p H(v) d\sigma(v) = \lambda_{d,n} H(u),$$

where

$$\lambda_{d,n} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \Gamma(p+1) \sin(\frac{\pi(n-p)}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{n-p}{2})}{2^{p-1} \Gamma(\frac{d+n+p}{2})}$$

for even n and $\lambda_{d,n} = 0$ for odd n .

The following result was obtained by Gromer [6] for $p = 1$. Along the same approach, we extend it to all $p \geq 1$, $p \neq 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For reader's convenience, we present the proof it here.

Lemma 3.2. *If f_1 and f_2 are twice continuously differentiable functions on S^{d-1} ($d \geq 2$) and $p \geq 1$, $p \neq 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then*

$$(9) \quad \|f_1^+ - f_2^+\|^2 \leq \psi(f_1, f_2) \|\mathcal{C}_p(f_1) - \mathcal{C}_p(f_2)\|^{\frac{4}{d+4}},$$

with $\psi(f_1, f_2) = \lambda_{d,0}^{-2-\frac{2}{d+4}} \|\mathcal{C}_p f_1 - \mathcal{C}_p f_2\|^2 + \frac{2}{c_p} (\nabla_o \|f_1\|^2 + \nabla_o \|f_2\|^2)$ and $c_p > 0$ is a constant.

Proof. If we write the condensed expansion of $f_i (i = 1, 2)$ in the form

$$f_i \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n^i,$$

then

$$(10) \quad f_1^+ - f_2^+ \sim \sum_{n=2k, k \in \mathbb{N}} (Q_n^1 - Q_n^2).$$

To prove (9), we let λ denote the sequence $\lambda_{d,0}, \lambda_{d,2}, \lambda_{d,4}, \dots$ with $\lambda_{d,n}$ as in Lemma 3.1. From (10) and (7), it follows that

$$(11) \quad \Gamma(f_1^+ - f_2^+, \lambda, \frac{4}{d+2}) = \lambda_{d,0}^{-\frac{4}{d+2}} \|Q_0^1 - Q_0^2\|^2 + \sum_{n=2k, k \in \mathbb{N}^+} |\lambda_{d,n}|^{-\frac{4}{d+2}} \|Q_n^1 - Q_n^2\|^2.$$

Combining $\langle \mathcal{C}_p f, H \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{C}_p H \rangle$ with Lemma 3.1, one sees that

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{C}_p(f) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{d,n} Q_n,$$

with $\lambda_{d,n}$ as in Lemma 3.1.

This equation and Parseval's equation show that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Q_0^1 - Q_0^2\|^2 &\leq \lambda_{d,0}^{-2} \sum_{n=2k, k \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_{d,n}|^2 \|Q_n^1 - Q_n^2\|^2 \\ &= \lambda_{d,0}^{-2} \|\mathcal{C}_p f_1 - \mathcal{C}_p f_2\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$c_p = \min\left\{ \min_{p > n, n \text{ even}} \{|\lambda_{d,n}|^{\frac{4}{d+2}} n(n+d-2)\}, \min_{p < n, n \text{ even}} \{|\lambda_{d,n}|^{\frac{4}{d+2}} n(n+d-2)\} \right\}.$$

From (11), (13), the fact that $\|Q_n^1 - Q_n^2\|^2 \leq 2(\|Q_n^1\|^2 + \|Q_n^2\|^2)$ and (6), we have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Gamma(f_1^+ - f_2^+, \lambda, \frac{4}{d+2}) \\ &\leq \lambda_{d,0}^{-2-\frac{2}{d+4}} \|\mathcal{C}_p f_1 - \mathcal{C}_p f_2\|^2 + \sum_{n=2k, k \in \mathbb{N}^+} |\lambda_{d,n}|^{-\frac{4}{d+2}} \|Q_n^1 - Q_n^2\|^2 \\ &\leq \lambda_{d,0}^{-2-\frac{2}{d+4}} \|\mathcal{C}_p f_1 - \mathcal{C}_p f_2\|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2k, k \in \mathbb{N}^+} \frac{2}{|\lambda_{d,n}|^{\frac{4}{d+2}} n(n+d-2)} \left[n(n+d-2) \|Q_n^1\|^2 + n(n+d-2) \|Q_n^2\|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \lambda_{d,0}^{-2-\frac{2}{d+4}} \|\mathcal{C}_p f_1 - \mathcal{C}_p f_2\|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=2k, k \in \mathbb{N}^+} \frac{2}{c_p} \left[n(n+d-2) \|Q_n^1\|^2 + n(n+d-2) \|Q_n^2\|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \lambda_{d,0}^{-2-\frac{2}{d+4}} \|\mathcal{C}_p f_1 - \mathcal{C}_p f_2\|^2 + \frac{2}{c_p} (\nabla_o \|f_1\|^2 + \nabla_o \|f_2\|^2).$$

From Lemma 2.1 and (14), we obtain (9). \square

Proof of Theorem 1. We assume that the assumptions are satisfied and K and L have twice continuously differentiable radial functions. If the Theorem is proved under this assumption, then the general case follows by approximation.

It follows from the definitions of the p -centroid body and p -cosine transformation that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\Gamma_p K}^p(u) &= \frac{1}{(d+p)V(K)} \int_{S^{d-1}} |u \cdot v| \rho_K^{d+p}(v) d\sigma(v) \\ (15) \quad &= \frac{1}{(d+p)V(K)} \mathcal{C}_p(\rho_K^{d+p})(u) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(16) \quad h_{\Gamma_p L}^p(u) = \frac{1}{(d+p)V(L)} \mathcal{C}_p(\rho_L^{d+p})(u).$$

Since $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_e^d(r, R)$, it is clear that

$$r^d \kappa_d \leq V(K), V(L) \leq R^d \kappa_d$$

and

$$\max_{u \in S^{d-1}} \{h_{\Gamma_p K}(u), h_{\Gamma_p L}(u)\} \leq \left(\frac{1}{(d+p)r^d \kappa_d} \kappa_{d-1} R^{d+p} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} =: c_1(d, r, R, p),$$

where $c_1(d, r, R, p)$ is an explicit constant depending only on d, r, R, p .

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{C}_p(\rho_K^{d+p}) - \mathcal{C}_p(\rho_L^{d+p})\|^2 \\ & \leq ((d+p)R^d \kappa_d)^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} |h_{\Gamma_p K}^p(u) - h_{\Gamma_p L}^p(u)|^2 d\sigma(u) \\ & = ((d+p)R^d \kappa_d)^2 \int_{S^{d-1}} |h_{\Gamma_p K}(u) - h_{\Gamma_p L}(u)|^2 \left(\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} h_{\Gamma_p K}^i(u) h_{\Gamma_p L}^{p-1-i}(u) \right)^2 d\sigma(u) \\ & \leq ((d+p)R^d \kappa_d)^2 \omega_d \delta(\Gamma_p K, \Gamma_p L)^2 p^2 c_1(d, r, R, p)^{2(p-1)} \\ (17) \quad & \leq c_2(d, r, R, p) \varepsilon^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_2(d, r, R, p) := ((d+p)R^d \kappa_d)^2 \omega_d p^2 c_1(d, r, R, p)^{2(p-1)}$ is an explicit constant depending only on d, r, R, p .

A special case of the estimate (see e.g. [6, p. 243]) for $K \in \mathcal{K}_e^d(r, R)$ gives

$$(18) \quad \|\nabla_o \rho_K^m\| \leq m \sqrt{(d-1) \omega_d} \frac{R^{m+1}}{r}$$

for $m > 0$.

From standard computations, involving Lemma 3.2, (18) and the fact $\rho_K = \rho_K^+$, $\rho_L = \rho_L^+$, we can have

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\rho_K^{d+p} - \rho_L^{d+p}\|^2 &= \|(\rho_K^{d+p})^+ - (\rho_L^{d+p})^+\|^2 \\ &\leq c_3(d, r, R, p) \|\mathcal{C}_p(\rho_K^{d+p}) - \mathcal{C}_p(\rho_L^{d+p})\|^{\frac{4}{d+4}} \end{aligned}$$

with an explicit constant $c_3(d, r, R, p)$ depending only on d, r, R, p .

Denote $c_4(d, r, R, p) = c_2(d, r, R, p)^{\frac{2}{d+4}} c_3(d, r, R, p)$, from (17) and (19), we get

$$(20) \quad \|\rho_K^{d+p} - \rho_L^{d+p}\|^2 \leq c_4(d, r, R, p) \varepsilon^{\frac{4}{d+4}},$$

with an explicit constant $c_4(d, r, R, p)$ depending only on d, r, R, p .

It follows from $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_e^d(r, R)$ that

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\rho_K^{d+p} - \rho_L^{d+p}\|^2 \\ &= \int_{S^{d-1}} |\rho_K^{d+p}(u) - \rho_L^{d+p}(u)|^2 d\sigma(u) \\ &= \int_{S^{d-1}} \left| (\rho_K(u) - \rho_L(u)) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d+p-1} \rho_K^i(u) \rho_L^{d+p-1-i}(u) \right) \right|^2 d\sigma(u) \\ &\geq (d+p)^2 r^{2(d+p-1)} \int_{S^{d-1}} |\rho_K(u) - \rho_L(u)|^2 d\sigma(u) \\ &= (d+p)^2 r^{2(d+p-1)} \|\rho_K - \rho_L\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For convex bodies $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_e^d(r, R)$, the Hausdorff distance $\delta(K, L)$ can be estimated in terms of the radial L_2 -metric by

$$(22) \quad \delta(K, L) \leq c_d R^2 r^{-\frac{d+3}{d+1}} \|\rho_K - \rho_L\|^{\frac{2}{d+1}}$$

with an explicit constant c_d depending only on the dimension d (see e.g. Groner [6], Lemma 2.3.2).

The conclusion can be obtained from (20), (21) and (22). \square

If $\varepsilon = 0$, from Theorem 1 we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.1. *Let K, L be two origin-symmetric convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^d such that $V(K) = V(L)$. If for some $p \geq 1$, $p \neq 2k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma_p K = \Gamma_p L$, then $K = L$.*

Acknowledgements. The authors are indebted to the referees for valuable suggestions and very careful reading of the original manuscript.

References

- [1] S. Campi and P. Gronchi, *The L^p -Busemann-Petty centroid inequality*, Adv. Math. **167** (2002), 128–141.
- [2] ———, *On the reverse L_p -Busemann-Petty centroid inequality*, Mathematika **49** (2002), no. 1-2, 1–11.

- [3] I. Fáry and L. Rédei, *Der zentralsymmetrische Kern und die zentralsymmetrische Hülle von konvexen Körpern*, Math. Ann. **122** (1950), 205–220.
- [4] B. Fleury, O. Guédon, and G. Paouris, *A stability result for mean width of L_p -centroid bodies*, Adv. Math. **214** (2007), no. 2, 865–877.
- [5] R. J. Gardner and A. Giannopoulos, *P -cross-section bodies*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), no. 2, 593–613.
- [6] H. Groemer, *Geometric Applications of Fourier Series and Spherical Harmonics*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1996.
- [7] P. M. Gruber, *Convex and Discrete Geometry*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 336, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [8] L. Guo and G. Leng, *Determination of star bodies from p -centroid bodies*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **123** (2013), no.4, 577–586.
- [9] ———, *Stable determination of convex bodies from centroid bodies*, Houston J. Math. **40** (2014), no. 2, 395–406.
- [10] A. Koldobsky, *Common subspaces of L_p -spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994), no. 1, 207–212.
- [11] E. Lutwak, *On some affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **23** (1986), no. 1, 1–13.
- [12] ———, *Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **60** (1990), no. 2, 365–391.
- [13] ———, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I: Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Differential Geom. **38** (1993), no. 1, 131–150.
- [14] ———, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory II: Affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math. **118** (1996), no. 2, 244–294.
- [15] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, *L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **56** (2000), no. 1, 111–132.
- [16] ———, *Sharp affine L_p Sobolev inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), no. 1, 17–38.
- [17] ———, *Orlicz centroid bodies*, J. Differential Geom. **84** (2010), no. 2, 365–387.
- [18] E. Lutwak and G. Zhang, *Blaschke-Santaló inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **47** (1997), no. 1, 1–16.
- [19] V. D. Milman and A. Pajor, *Isotropic position and inertia ellipsoid and zonoids of the unit ball of a normed n -dimensional space*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1987/88), 64–104, Lecture Notes in Math., 1376, Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [20] G. Paouris, *Concentration of mass on isotropic convex bodies*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342** (2006), no. 3, 179–182.
- [21] ———, *Small ball probability estimates for log-concave measures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 1, 287–308.
- [22] C. M. Petty, *Centroid surfaces*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1535–1547.
- [23] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. **44**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [24] G. Zhang, *Centered bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **345** (1994), no. 2, 777–801.
- [25] G. Zhu, *The Orlicz centroid inequality for star bodies*, Adv. Appl. Math. **48** (2012), no. 2, 432–445.
- [26] ———, *The logarithmic Minkowski problem for polytopes*, Adv. Math. **262** (2014), 909–931.
- [27] ———, *The centro-affine Minkowski problem for polytopes*, J. Differential Geom. **101** (2015), no. 1, 159–174.

LUJUN GUO
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE
HENAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY
HENAN, 453007, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: lujunguo0301@163.com

GANGSONG LENG
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SHANGHAI UNIVERSITY
SHANGHAI 200444, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: lenggangsong@163.com

YOUJIANG LIN
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
CHONGQING TECHNOLOGY AND BUSINESS UNIVERSITY
CHONGQING 400067, P. R. CHINA
E-mail address: lxyoujiang@126.com

Ahead of Print