

THE JORDAN CONSTANT FOR CREMONA GROUP OF RANK 2

EGOR YASINSKY

ABSTRACT. We compute the Jordan constant for the group of birational automorphisms of a projective plane $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$, where \mathbb{k} is either an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0, or the field of real numbers, or the field of rational numbers.

1. Introduction

1.1. Jordan property

Unless stated otherwise, \mathbb{k} denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. We start with the main definition of this article, first introduced by V. L. Popov in [12, Definition 2.1] (see also [13, Definition 1]).

Definition 1.1. A group Γ is called *Jordan* (we also say that Γ has *Jordan property*) if there exists a positive integer m such that every finite subgroup $G \subset \Gamma$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $A \triangleleft G$ of index at most m . The minimal such m is called the *Jordan constant* of Γ and is denoted by $J(\Gamma)$.

Informally, this means that all finite subgroups of Γ are “almost” abelian. The name of $J(\Gamma)$ (and the corresponding property) is justified by the classical theorem of Camille Jordan (for a modern exposition see e.g. [3, Theorem 36.13]).

Theorem 1.2 (C. Jordan, 1878). *The group $GL_n(\mathbb{k})$ is Jordan for every n .*

Since any subgroup of a Jordan group is obviously Jordan, Theorem 1.2 implies that every linear algebraic group over \mathbb{k} is Jordan. In recent years the Jordan property has been studied for groups of birational automorphisms of algebraic varieties. The first significant result in this direction belongs to J.-P. Serre. Before stating it, let us recall that the *Cremona group* $Cr_n(\mathbb{k})$ of rank n is the group of birational automorphisms of a projective space $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^n$

Received October 7, 2016; Revised January 7, 2017; Accepted February 13, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 14E07; Secondary 14J26, 14J50, 20B25.

Key words and phrases. Cremona group, Jordan constant, conic bundle, del Pezzo surface, automorphism group.

(or, equivalently, the group of \mathbb{k} -automorphisms of the field $\mathbb{k}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of rational functions in n independent variables). Note that $\mathrm{Cr}_1(\mathbb{k}) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ is linear and hence is Jordan, but the group $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})$ is already “very far” from being linear. However, the following holds.

Theorem 1.3 (J.-P. Serre [18, Theorem 5.3], [17, Théorème 3.1]). *The Cremona group $\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})$ over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0 is Jordan.*

A far-going generalization of Theorem 1.3 was recently proved by Yu. Prokhorov and C. Shramov, see also [21] and [22] for recent developments.

Theorem 1.4 ([14, Theorem 1.8]). *For every positive integer n , there exists a constant $I = I(n)$ such that for any rationally connected variety X of dimension n defined over an arbitrary field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0 and for any finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Bir}(X)$ there exists a normal abelian subgroup $A \subset G$ of index at most I .*

Note that this theorem states not only that $\mathrm{Bir}(X)$ are Jordan, but also that the corresponding constant may be chosen uniformly for all rationally connected X of a fixed dimension.

Corollary 1.5. *The group $\mathrm{Cr}_n(\mathbb{k})$ is Jordan for each $n \geq 1$.*

Remark 1.6. Theorem 1.4 was initially proved modulo so-called Borisov–Alexeev–Borisov conjecture, which states that for a given positive integer n , Fano varieties of dimension n with terminal singularities are bounded, i.e., are contained in a finite number of algebraic families. This conjecture was settled in dimensions ≤ 3 a long time ago, but in its full generality was proved only recently in a preprint of Caucher Birkar [1].

1.2. Jordan constant

So far we discussed only the Jordan property itself. Of course, after establishing that a given group is Jordan, the next natural question is to estimate its Jordan constant. This can be highly non-trivial: the precise values of $J(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{k}))$ for all n were found only in 2007 by M. J. Collins [2]. As for Cremona groups, in [18] a “multiplicative” upper bound for $J(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k}))$ is given. Specifically, it was shown that every finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})$ contains a normal abelian subgroup A with $[G : A]$ dividing $2^{10} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7$.

For any group Γ one can consider a closely related constant $\bar{J}(\Gamma)$, which is called a *weak Jordan constant* in [15]. By definition, it is the minimal number m such that for any finite subgroup $G \subset \Gamma$ there exists a *not necessarily normal* abelian subgroup $A \subset G$ of index at most m . The following beautiful fact highlights the connection between $J(\Gamma)$ and $\bar{J}(\Gamma)$ (see e.g. [9, Theorem 1.41]).

Theorem 1.7 (Chermak-Delgado). *If G is a finite group and A is its abelian subgroup, then one can find a characteristic abelian subgroup N of G such that*

$$[G : N] \leq [G : A]^2.$$

It follows from Chermak-Delgado theorem that

$$\bar{J}(\Gamma) \leq J(\Gamma) \leq \bar{J}(\Gamma)^2$$

for any Jordan group Γ . The weak Jordan constants for $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})$ and $\text{Cr}_3(\mathbb{k})$ were computed by Prokhorov and Shramov.

Theorem 1.8 ([15, Proposition 1.2.3, Theorem 1.2.4]). *Suppose that the field \mathbb{k} has characteristic 0. Then one has*

$$\bar{J}(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})) \leq 288, \quad \bar{J}(\text{Cr}_3(\mathbb{k})) \leq 10\,368.$$

These bounds become equalities if the base field \mathbb{k} is algebraically closed.

As we noticed above, one can also obtain an upper bound for $J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k}))$ from this theorem, namely

$$J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})) \leq 82\,944 = 288^2.$$

We will show that this bound is very far from being sharp. The goal of this paper is to compute an exact Jordan constant for the plane Cremona group. Our first main theorem is

Theorem 1.9. *Let \mathbb{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Then*

$$J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})) = 7200.$$

Further, we compute the Jordan constant for Cremona group $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and, as a by-product, for the group $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem 1.10. *One has*

$$J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})) = 120, \quad \bar{J}(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})) = 20.$$

Theorem 1.11. *One has*

$$J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{Q})) = 120, \quad \bar{J}(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{Q})) = 20.$$

Let us consider the category whose objects are \mathbb{R} -schemes with non-empty real loci and morphisms are defined as follows: we say that there is a morphism $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$ if f is a rational map defined at all *real* points of X . Automorphisms in such a category are called *birational diffeomorphisms* and the corresponding group is denoted by $\text{Aut}(X(\mathbb{R}))$. In recent years, birational diffeomorphisms of real rational projective surfaces have been studied intensively. As a by-product of Theorem 1.10, we will get the Jordan constant for the group of birational diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ and the sphere S^2 viewed as the real locus $Q_{3,1}(\mathbb{R})$ of the 2-dimensional quadric

$$Q_{3,1} = \{[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] : x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_3^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^3.$$

Theorem 1.12. *The following holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} J(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))) &= 60, & \bar{J}(\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))) &= 12, \\ J(Q_{3,1}(\mathbb{R})) &= 60, & \bar{J}(Q_{3,1}(\mathbb{R})) &= 12. \end{aligned}$$

Notation

Our notation is mostly standard.

- \mathfrak{S}_n denotes the symmetric group of degree n ;
- \mathfrak{A}_n denotes the alternating group of degree n ;
- D_n denotes the dihedral group of order $2n$;
- C_n , $n > 2$, denotes a cyclic characteristic subgroup $C_n \subset D_n$ of index 2;
- $O(p, q)$ denotes the indefinite orthogonal group of all linear transformations of an $(p+q)$ -dimensional real vector space that leave invariant a nondegenerate, symmetric bilinear form of signature (p, q) .
- $PO(p, q)$ denotes the corresponding projective orthogonal group, i.e. the image of the orthogonal group $O(p, q)$ under the projectivization homomorphism $GL_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow PGL_{p+q}(\mathbb{R})$;
- For a scheme X over \mathbb{R} we denote by $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ its complexification

$$X_{\mathbb{C}} = X \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}.$$

We also make the distinction between $X_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $X(\mathbb{R})$. The first is a scheme over \mathbb{R} (whose closed points are either real points or conjugate pairs of complex points of $X_{\mathbb{C}}$), and $X(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of the real points only. For example, $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^n$ is projective n -space as a scheme over \mathbb{R} and $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of real points, typically denoted by $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^n$.

- $\langle a \rangle$ denotes a cyclic group generated by a ;
- $A \bullet B$ denotes an extension of B with help of a normal subgroup A .

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 14-50-00005 and performed in Steklov Mathematical Institute of Russian Academy of Sciences. The author would like to thank Constantin Shramov for many useful discussions and remarks. The author would also like to thank Frédéric Mangolte, Yuri Prokhorov, Andrey Trepalin and the anonymous referee for valuable comments.

2. Some auxiliary results

In this short section we collect some useful facts concerning Jordan property.

Lemma 2.1. *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *If Γ_1 is a subgroup of a Jordan group Γ_2 , then Γ_1 is Jordan and $J(\Gamma_1) \leq J(\Gamma_2)$.*
- (2) *If Γ_1 is a Jordan group, and there is a surjective homomorphism $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$, then Γ_2 is also Jordan with $J(\Gamma_2) \leq J(\Gamma_1)$.*

The proofs are elementary and we omit them. Next let us compute some Jordan constants.

Lemma 2.2. *One has*

- (1) $J(GL_2(\mathbb{k})) = 60$.

- (2) $J(\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{k})) = 360$.
- (3) $J(\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})) = 60$.
- (4) $J(\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})) = 360$.

Proof. For (1) and (2) we refer the reader to [2], where $J(\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{k}))$ is computed for each n . To prove (3) and (4), we apply Lemma 2.1 (2) to the natural surjections $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{k}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbb{k})$, $n = 2, 3$, and get $J(\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})) \leq 60$ and $J(\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})) \leq 360$. The required equalities are given by the simple groups \mathfrak{A}_5 and \mathfrak{A}_6 , respectively (alternatively, one can use the well-known classification of finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ and $\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{k})$, like in [15, Lemma 2.3.1]). \square

3. The case of algebraically closed field

In the remaining part of the paper we shall use the standard language of G -varieties (see e.g. [4]). We are going to deduce our main Theorem 1.9 by regularizing the action of each finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})$ on some \mathbb{k} -rational surface X . Then, applying to X the G -Minimal Model Program, we reduce to the case when X is either a *del Pezzo surface*, or a *conic bundle*.

First we focus on conic bundles. Recall, that a smooth G -surface (X, G) admits a conic bundle structure, if there is a G -morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow B$, where B is a smooth curve and each scheme fibre is isomorphic to a reduced conic in \mathbb{P}^2 .

Let us also fix some notation. In this paper every automorphism of a conic bundle $\pi : X \rightarrow B$ is supposed to preserve the conic bundle structure π . We shall write $\mathrm{Aut}(X, \pi)$ for the corresponding automorphism group. For every finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(X, \pi)$ there exists a short exact sequence of groups

$$1 \longrightarrow G_F \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G_B \longrightarrow 1,$$

where $G_B \subset \mathrm{Aut}(B) \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$, and G_F acts by automorphisms of the generic fiber F . Since G is finite, G_F is a subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. The following result will be used in the proof of Proposition 3.2. We sketch the proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 3.1 ([18, Lemma 5.2]). *Let $g \in G$ and $h \in G_F$ be such that g normalizes the cyclic group $\langle h \rangle$ generated by h . Then ghg^{-1} is equal to h or to h^{-1} .*

Proof. We may assume that the order n of h is greater than 2. The automorphism h has two fixed points on F , which can be characterized by the eigenvalue of h on their tangent spaces. Denote one of these eigenvalues by λ ; the other is λ^{-1} (these are primitive n -th roots of unity). The pair $\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}$ is canonically associated with h , so the pair associated with ghg^{-1} is also $\{\lambda, \lambda^{-1}\}$. But $ghg^{-1} = h^k$, hence the pair associated with h^k is $\{\lambda^k, \lambda^{-k}\}$. Hence $k \equiv \pm 1 \pmod n$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let X be a smooth rational surface with a conic bundle structure $\pi : X \rightarrow B \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Then*

$$J(\text{Aut}(X, \pi)) \leq 7200.$$

Proof. Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(X, \pi)$ be a finite group. We want to find a normal abelian subgroup $A \subset G$ such that $[G : A] \leq 7200$. In what follows, we shall call the groups \mathfrak{A}_4 , \mathfrak{S}_4 and \mathfrak{A}_5 “exceptional”. We have the following possibilities for G_F and G_B :

(i) Both G_F and G_B are exceptional. Then $|G| = |G_F| \cdot |G_B| \leq |\mathfrak{A}_5|^2 = 3600$ and we are done.

(ii) The group G_B is exceptional, and G_F is either \mathbb{Z}/n or D_n , $n \geq 2$. Then one can take $A = G_F$ (if $G_F \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$) or $A = C_n \subset D_n$ (if $G_F \cong D_n$), and get $[G : A] \leq 2 \cdot 60 = 120$ (recall that C_n is characteristic in D_n , hence is normal in G).

(iii) The group G_F is exceptional, and G_B is either \mathbb{Z}/n or D_n , $n \geq 2$. Take $g \in G$ such that $\varphi(g)$ generates a cyclic subgroup $G'_B \subset G_B$ with $[G_B : G'_B] \leq 2$. Then one has the inclusions

$$\langle g \rangle \subset (G_F) \bullet \langle \varphi(g) \rangle \subset G.$$

Since the group $\langle g \rangle$ has index at most 60 in $(G_F) \bullet \langle \varphi(g) \rangle$, the latter group contains a characteristic abelian subgroup A of index at most 3600 (see Theorem 1.7). As the group $(G_F) \bullet \langle \varphi(g) \rangle$ has index at most 2 in G , the group A is normal and of index at most 7200 in G .

(iv) Both G_F and G_B are cyclic or dihedral. Assume first that G_F is not isomorphic to $D_2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$. Then G_F contains a cyclic characteristic subgroup $G'_F = \langle h \rangle$ of index at most 2. Similarly, G_B contains a cyclic subgroup G'_B of index at most 2. Pick $g \in G$ such that $\langle \varphi(g) \rangle = G'_B$. Since G'_F is normal in G , it is normalized by g . Thus, by Lemma 3.1, either $ghg^{-1} = h$, or $ghg^{-1} = h^{-1}$. In both cases g^2 commutes with h , so the group $\langle g^2, h \rangle$ is abelian. One has the inclusions

$$\langle g^2, h \rangle \subset \langle g, h \rangle \subset (G_F) \bullet \langle \varphi(g) \rangle \subset G.$$

The index of $\langle g^2, h \rangle$ in $(G_F) \bullet \langle \varphi(g) \rangle$ is at most 4. By Theorem 1.7 the latter group contains an abelian characteristic subgroup A of index at most 16. Since $(G_F) \bullet \langle \varphi(g) \rangle$ has index at most 2 in G , the group A must be normal in G and of index at most 32.

Finally, let us consider the case when $G_F \cong D_2 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^2$ and $G_B \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$ or D_n , $n \geq 2$. Again, take $g \in G$ such that $\varphi(g)$ generates G'_B with $[G_B : G'_B] \leq 2$. Then one has the inclusions

$$\langle g \rangle \subset (G_F) \bullet \langle \varphi(g) \rangle \subset G,$$

so there exists a characteristic abelian subgroup A of index at most 16 in $(G_F) \bullet \langle \varphi(g) \rangle$. Since the latter group has index at most 2 in G , the group A is normal and of index at most 32 in G . \square

The second type of rational surfaces we shall work with are del Pezzo surfaces, which by definition are projective algebraic surfaces X with ample anticanonical class $-K_X$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let X be a smooth del Pezzo surface. Then*

$$J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 7200.$$

If X is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, then one has $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 360$.

Proof. We shall consider each $d = K_X^2$ separately.

$d = 9$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2$ and $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 360$ by Lemma 2.2(4).

$d = 8$. If X is a blow up $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ at one point, then π is $\text{Aut}(X)$ -equivariant, so $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 360$ by the case $d = 9$. Now let $X \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong (\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ be a finite group and G_0 be its intersection with $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$. We will assume that $G_0 \neq 1$ (otherwise $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ and everything is clear). Suppose first that $G \neq G_0$. Then G_0 is a normal subgroup of G of index 2. Let

$$\pi_i : \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

be the projection on the i -th component. Then $G_0 \subseteq \pi_1(G_0) \times \pi_2(G_0)$. Since $\mathbb{Z}/2$ acts on $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{k})$ by exchanging the two components, we have $\pi_1(G_0) \cong \pi_2(G_0) \cong H$, where H is one of the following groups: \mathbb{Z}/n , D_n , \mathfrak{A}_4 , \mathfrak{S}_4 , \mathfrak{A}_5 . In the last three cases we are done, since $|G| = 2|G_0| \leq 2|\mathfrak{A}_5| = 7200$. If $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$, we take G_0 as a normal abelian subgroup of G of index 2. Finally, if $H \cong D_n$, then intersecting G_0 with $C_n \times C_n \subset D_n \times D_n$ we get an abelian subgroup $G'_0 \subset G_0$ of index at most 4. Thus G_0 contains a characteristic abelian subgroup of index at most 16 (see e.g. Theorem 1.7), which is normal in G and has index at most 32 therein.

If $G = G_0$, then we either conclude applying Proposition 3.2 (as $G = G_0$ preserves the conic bundle structure), or use arguments similar to the previous ones (here we cannot state that $\pi_1(G) \cong \pi_2(G)$, but it is not so important).

$d = 7$. Then X is a blow up $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ at two points, and π is again $\text{Aut}(X)$ -equivariant. Therefore, $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 360$.

$d = 6$. Then X is isomorphic to the surface obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$ in three noncollinear points p_1, p_2, p_3 . The set of (-1) -curves on X consists of six curves which form a hexagon Σ of lines in the anticanonical embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^6$. One can easily show that $\text{Aut}(X) \cong (\mathbb{k}^*)^2 \rtimes D_6$, where the torus $(\mathbb{k}^*)^2$ comes from automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$ that fix all the points p_i , and D_6 is the symmetry group of Σ . Therefore, $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq |D_6| = 12$.

- $d = 5$. Then $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5$, so $J(\text{Aut}(X)) = 120$.
- $d = 4$. Then $\text{Aut}(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^4 \rtimes \Gamma$, where $|\Gamma| \leq 10$, see [7, Theorem 1.1] or [6, Theorem 8.6.8]. Thus $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 10$ and we are done.
- $d = 3$. Then either $|\text{Aut}(X)| \leq 120$, or $\text{Aut}(X) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3)^3 \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_4$ (and X is the Fermat cubic surface), see [8, Theorem 5.3] or [6, Theorem 9.5.8]. But in the latter case $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 24$.
- $d = 2$. Recall that the anticanonical map $\psi_{|-K_X|} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$ is a double cover branched over a smooth quartic $B \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{k}}^2$. It is well known that $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{Aut}(B) \times \langle \gamma \rangle$, where γ is the Galois involution of the double cover (the *Geiser involution*). By Hurwitz's Theorem, $|\text{Aut}(B)| \leq 84(g(B) - 1) = 168$, so $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq |\text{Aut}(X)| = 336$.
- $d = 1$. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1. Recall that the linear system $|-K_X|$ is an elliptic pencil whose base locus consists of one point, which we denote by p . Since p is fixed by $\text{Aut}(X)$, one has the natural faithful representation

$$\text{Aut}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(T_p X) \cong \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Thus $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq J(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{C})) = 60$ by Lemma 2.2(1). \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let X be a smooth rational surface. Then $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 7200$.*

Proof. Take a finite subgroup $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$. Applying to X the G -Minimal Model Program, we may assume that X is either a del Pezzo surface, or a rational surface with G -equivariant conic bundle structure [5, Theorem 5]. Now the statement follows from Propositions 3.3 and 3.2. \square

Corollary 3.5 (Theorem 1.9). *One has $J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})) = 7200$.*

Proof. Take a finite subgroup $G \subset \text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})$. Regularizing its action (see [4, Lemma 3.5]), we may assume that G acts biregularly on a smooth rational surface X . So, the bound $J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{k})) \leq 7200$ follows from Corollary 3.4. The equality is achieved for the group $G = (\mathfrak{A}_5 \times \mathfrak{A}_5) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ acting on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Indeed, if A is normal in G , then $A \cap (\mathfrak{A}_5 \times \mathfrak{A}_5)$ is normal in $\mathfrak{A}_5 \times \mathfrak{A}_5$. But every normal subgroup in the direct product of two simple non-abelian groups H and K is one of the groups $1_H \times 1_K$, $1_H \times K$, $H \times 1_K$, $H \times K$. If A is abelian, it must be trivial. \square

4. The Jordan constants for the plane Cremona groups over \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Q}

In recent years, growing attention has been paid to the group $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})$. In contrast with $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})$, there are only partial classification results for its finite subgroups at the moment (see [20] for classification of odd order subgroups in $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and [16] for classification of prime order birational diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{S}^2). However we are still able to calculate the Jordan constant $J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. As a by-product, we also get the Jordan constant for a closely related group

$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))$ of birational diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ (see Introduction), and for the group $\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{Q})$.

Of course, from Lemma 2.1(1) we immediately get

$$J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})) \leq J(\text{Cr}_2(\mathbb{C})) = 7200.$$

Using some elementary representation theory arguments (Lemma 4.1), this bound can be drastically improved. The next result is classical and we omit the proof.

Lemma 4.1. *The following assertions hold.*

- (1) *Any finite subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is isomorphic either to \mathbb{Z}/n or D_n ($n \geq 2$).*
- (2) *One has $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R}) \cong \text{SL}_3(\mathbb{R})$. Any finite subgroup of $\text{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ is either cyclic, or dihedral, or one of the symmetry groups of Platonic solids \mathfrak{A}_4 , \mathfrak{S}_4 or \mathfrak{A}_5 .*

Proposition 4.2. *Let X be a smooth real \mathbb{R} -rational surface with a conic bundle structure $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$. Then*

$$J(\text{Aut}(X, \pi)) \leq 32, \quad \bar{J}(\text{Aut}(X, \pi)) \leq 8.$$

Proof. Let $G \subset \text{Aut}(X, \pi)$ be a finite group. Extending scalars to \mathbb{C} , we argue as in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Note that G_F and G_B cannot be “exceptional” groups by Lemma 4.1(1), so only the case (iv) from the proof of Proposition 3.2 occurs. As the abelian group $\langle g^2, h \rangle$ (or $\langle g \rangle$, when $G_F \cong \text{D}_2$) from this case has index at most 8 in G , we get the desired bound for the weak Jordan constant. \square

We next consider real del Pezzo surfaces. For completeness sake, we also compute the weak Jordan constants for their automorphism groups. Note that for an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of characteristic 0 one has $\bar{J}(\text{Aut } X) \leq 288$ for every smooth del Pezzo surface X over \mathbb{k} , see [15, Corollary 3.2.5].

Proposition 4.3. *Let X be a smooth real \mathbb{R} -rational del Pezzo surface. Then one has*

$$J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 120, \quad \bar{J}(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 20.$$

Proof. We again consider each $d = K_X^2$ separately. Since

$$J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq J(\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})),$$

in most cases it will be enough to get a sharper bound for $J(\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}))$, than in the proof of Proposition 3.3.

$d = 9$. Then $X \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ and $J(\text{Aut}(X)) = |\mathfrak{A}_5| = 60$ by Lemma 4.1(2). Clearly, $\bar{J}(\text{Aut}(X)) = 12$.

$d = 8$. If X is the blow up $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ at one point, then every finite subgroup $G \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ preserves the exceptional divisor of π isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$.

So, we conclude by Lemma 4.1(1). Now assume that $X_{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^1$. Denote by $Q_{r,s}$ the smooth quadric hypersurface

$$\{[x_1 : \cdots : x_{r+s}] : x_1^2 + \cdots + x_r^2 - x_{r+1}^2 - \cdots - x_{r+s}^2 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^{r+s-1}.$$

Then X is either $Q_{3,1}$, or $Q_{2,2}$. In the first case $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \text{PO}(3,1)$. Indeed, any automorphism of $Q_{3,1}$ preserves the ample anticanonical class $-K_X$, hence is induced by an automorphism of the ambient projective space. Recall that

$$\text{O}(3,1) = \text{O}(3,1)^{\uparrow} \times \langle \pm I \rangle_2,$$

where I is the identity matrix and $\text{O}(3,1)^{\uparrow}$ is the subgroup preserving the future light cone. The latter group is isomorphic to $\text{PO}(3,1)$ and we may identify subgroups of $\text{PO}(3,1)$ with subgroups of $\text{O}(3,1)$. Using classification of finite subgroups of $\text{O}(3,1)$ given in [11], we see that every finite group $G \subset \text{PO}(3,1)$ contains a normal abelian subgroup of index at most 60 and abelian (not necessarily normal) subgroup of index at most 12.

If $X \cong Q_{2,2} \cong \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$, then

$$\text{Aut}(X) \cong (\text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{PGL}_2(\mathbb{R})) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

and the assertion follows from Lemma 4.1 (1) and the same arguments as in Proposition 3.3 (case $d = 8$).

$d = 7$. Then X is a blow up $\pi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ at two points. One of (-1) -curves on X is always defined over \mathbb{R} and $\text{Aut}(X)$ -invariant, so we again conclude by Lemma 4.1(1).

$d = 6$. One has $\bar{J}(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq J(\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})) \leq |D_6| = 12$.

$d = 5$. Then $\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5$, so $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 120$ and $\bar{J}(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq 20$. Note that there exists a real del Pezzo surface X of degree 5 with $\text{Aut}(X) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5$ (it can be obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ at 4 real points in general position). So, both bounds are sharp.

$d = 4$. As we already noticed, $\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2)^4 \rtimes \Gamma$, where $|\Gamma| \leq 10$. Thus,

$$\bar{J}(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq J(\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})) \leq |\Gamma| \leq 10.$$

$d = 3$. From this moment we prefer to give more accurate bounds for $J(\text{Aut}(X))$. We will need these bounds in the proof of Theorem 1.12, although not all of them are needed in the present proof. One has the following possibilities for $\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$ (see [8, Theorem 5.3] or [6, Theorem 9.5.8]):

– $|\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})| = 648$, $\text{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3)^3 \rtimes \mathfrak{S}_4$ and $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the Fermat cubic surface

$$\{[x_0 : x_1 : x_2 : x_3] : x_0^3 + x_1^3 + x_2^3 + x_3^3 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^3.$$

Therefore, $J(\text{Aut}(X)) \leq |\mathfrak{S}_4| = 24$. Note that $\text{Aut}(X) \cap (\mathbb{Z}/3)^3 \cong (\mathbb{Z}/3)^{\ell}$, where $\ell = 1, 2$, as $\text{PGL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ does not contain $(\mathbb{Z}/3)^3$ (see e.g. [20, Proposition 2.17]). Since every representation $\mathfrak{S}_4 \rightarrow$

- $\mathrm{GL}_\ell(\mathbb{F}_3)$ has non-trivial kernel, $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ contains an abelian subgroup of index at most 12. We conclude that $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 12$.
- $|\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})| = 120$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5$. Thus $J(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq J(\mathfrak{S}_5) = 120$ and $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 20$.
 - $|\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})| = 108$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathcal{H}_3(3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/4$, where $\mathcal{H}_3(3)$ is the Heisenberg group of unipotent 3×3 -matrices with entries in \mathbb{F}_3 . Being a group of order 27, the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_3(3)$ has non-trivial center, which must be a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})$. Therefore, $J(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 108/3 = 36$. On the other hand, $\mathcal{H}_3(3)$ contains an abelian subgroup of order 9, so $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 108/9 = 12$.
 - $|\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})| = 54$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathcal{H}_3(3) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$. Similarly, one has $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 54/9 = 6$.
 - $|\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}})| \leq 24$. Then every non-trivial cyclic subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ has index at most 12.
- $d = 2$. Just as in the proof of Proposition 3.3, one has $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong \mathrm{Aut}(B) \times \langle \gamma \rangle$, where γ is the Geiser involution and B is a real plane quartic curve. Since $\mathrm{Aut}(B) \subset \mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbb{R})$ is a finite group, we can apply Lemma 4.1 (2). Namely, if $\mathrm{Aut}(B)$ is “exceptional”, take $A = \langle \gamma \rangle$ as a desired normal abelian subgroup. If $\mathrm{Aut}(B) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n$, take $A = \mathrm{Aut}(X)$. If $\mathrm{Aut}(B) \cong D_n$, take $A = C_n \times \langle \gamma \rangle$. In all the cases $J(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 60$ and $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 12$.
- $d = 1$. Since the unique base point p of the linear system $| -K_X |$ must be real, we again have the natural faithful representation

$$\mathrm{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(T_p X) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Thus $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ is either cyclic, or dihedral, and $J(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 2$. \square

Corollary 4.4 (Theorem 1.10). *One has*

$$J(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})) = 120, \quad \bar{J}(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})) = 20.$$

Proof. Let $G \subset \mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a finite subgroup. Regularizing its action on some \mathbb{R} -rational surface and applying the G -Minimal Model Program, we may assume that G acts biregularly on a smooth real \mathbb{R} -rational surface, which is either a del Pezzo surface, or a G -equivariant conic bundle [5, Theorem 5]. From Propositions 4.2 and 4.3, one gets $J(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})) \leq 120$ and $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R})) = 20$. The equalities are given by the group \mathfrak{S}_5 , which occurs as the automorphism group of a real del Pezzo surface, obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ at four real points in general position. \square

Corollary 4.5 (Theorem 1.11). *One has*

$$J(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{Q})) = 120, \quad \bar{J}(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{Q})) = 20.$$

Proof. Clearly, $J(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{Q})) \leq J(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R}))$, $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{Q})) \leq \bar{J}(\mathrm{Cr}_2(\mathbb{R}))$. Since \mathfrak{S}_5 can be realized as the automorphism group of a degree 5 del Pezzo surface over \mathbb{Q} , we are done. \square

Corollary 4.6 (Theorem 1.12). *One has*

$$\begin{aligned} J(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))) &= 60, & \bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))) &= 12, \\ J(\mathbb{Q}_{3,1}(\mathbb{R})) &= 60, & \bar{J}(\mathbb{Q}_{3,1}(\mathbb{R})) &= 12. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Take a finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))$ and regularize its action on some smooth \mathbb{R} -rational surface X . As above, we can assume that X is G -minimal and is either a del Pezzo surface, or a surface with G -equivariant conic bundle structure. Moreover, since we want $X(\mathbb{R})$ to be homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2$, we may assume by [10, Corollary 3.4.] that X is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^2$ blown up at k pairs of complex conjugate points, where $k = 0, \dots, 4$ and $d = K_X^2 = 9 - 2k$. From the proof of Proposition 4.3, one easily gets that $J(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 60$ and $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 12$ in all the cases, except $d = k = 3$, $\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5$, and $d = 5$, $k = 2$, $\mathrm{Aut}(X_{\mathbb{C}}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5$. To conclude that $J(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))) = 60$ and $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{R}))) = 12$, it suffices to show that \mathfrak{S}_5 cannot occur as the automorphism group of such real surfaces.

If $d = 5$, then \mathfrak{S}_5 is the automorphism group of the Petersen graph of (-1) -curves on $X_{\mathbb{C}}$. In our case there are only 2 real lines on X , so $\mathrm{Aut}(X)$ cannot be isomorphic to \mathfrak{S}_5 .

Assume that $d = k = 3$, $\mathrm{Aut}(X) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5$ and let $\tau \in \mathrm{Aut}(X) \subset \mathrm{PGL}_4(\mathbb{R})$ be of order 5. It is easy to see that there are exactly 3 real lines ℓ_1, ℓ_2 and ℓ_3 on X , either forming a “triangle” or intersecting at a single Eckardt point. Thus τ preserves each ℓ_i . In both cases τ stabilizes a real line, say ℓ_1 , and fixes a real point $p = \ell_1 \cap \ell_2$. Restricting τ to ℓ_1 , we get an automorphism τ' of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1$ with a real fixed point. Thus either τ' has order 2, which is impossible, or τ fixes ℓ_1 pointwise. In the latter case τ fixes ℓ_2 pointwise too, so τ fixes pointwise the plane in $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^3$ spanned by ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 . So, τ is a reflection, a contradiction.

Similarly, given a finite subgroup $G \subset \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Q}_{3,1}(\mathbb{R}))$, we may assume that G acts biregularly either on a smooth \mathbb{R} -rational conic bundle X , or on an \mathbb{R} -rational del Pezzo surface X . In the former case we are done by Proposition 4.2. In the latter case, to preserve the real locus structure, the degree of X should be 8, 6, 4, or 2. From the proof of Proposition 4.3, we see that $J(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 60$ and $\bar{J}(\mathrm{Aut}(X)) \leq 12$ in these cases. As usual, the equality is given by the group \mathfrak{A}_5 acting on $\mathbb{Q}_{3,1}$. \square

References

- [1] C. Birkar, *Singularities of linear systems and boundedness of Fano varieties*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.05543>.
- [2] M. J. Collins, *On Jordans theorem for complex linear groups*, *J. Group Theory* **10** (2007), no. 4, 411–423.

- [3] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XI. Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1962.
- [4] I. V. Dolgachev and V. A. Iskovskikh, *Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin, Progr. Math., vol. 269 (2009), Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA., 443–558.
- [5] ———, *On elements of prime order in the plane Cremona group over a perfect field*, Int. Math. Res. Notices **2009** (2009), no. 18, 3467–3485.
- [6] I. V. Dolgachev, *Classical Algebraic Geometry: A Modern View*, Cambridge University Press, 1st edition, 2012.
- [7] T. Hosoh, *Automorphism groups of quartic del Pezzo surfaces*, J. Algebra **185** (1996), no. 2, 374–389.
- [8] ———, *Automorphism groups of cubic surfaces*, J. Algebra **192** (1997), no. 2, 651–677.
- [9] I. M. Isaacs, *Finite group theory*, volume 92 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [10] J. Kollár, *Real Algebraic Surfaces*, Notes of the 1997 Trento summer school lectures, preprint.
- [11] J. Patera and Y. Saint-Aubin, *Finite subgroups of the Lorentz group and their generating functions*, Symmetries in Science, Springer (1980), 297–308.
- [12] V. L. Popov, *On the Makar-Limanov, Derksen invariants, and finite automorphism groups of algebraic varieties*, Affine algebraic geometry, 289–311, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [13] ———, *Finite subgroups of diffeomorphism groups*, Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **289** (2015), no. 1, 221–226.
- [14] Yu. Prokhorov and C. Shramov, *Jordan property for Cremona groups*, Amer. J. Math. **138** (2016), no. 2, 403–418.
- [15] ———, *Jordan constant for Cremona group of rank 3*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.00709>.
- [16] M. F. Robayo, *Prime order birational diffeomorphisms of the sphere*, Annali Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa, Cl. Sci. (5) **XVI** (2016), 909–970.
- [17] J.-P. Serre, *Le groupe de Cremona et ses sous-groupes finis*, Seminaire Bourbaki **1000** (2008), 75–100.
- [18] ———, *A Minkowski-style bound for the orders of the finite subgroups of the Cremona group of rank 2 over an arbitrary field*, Mosc. Math. J. **9** (2009), no. 1, 193–208.
- [19] A. Trepalin, *Rationality of the quotient of \mathbb{P}^2 by finite group of automorphisms over arbitrary field of characteristic zero*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **12** (2014), no. 2, 229–239.
- [20] E. Yasinsky, *Subgroups of odd order in the real plane Cremona group*, J. Algebra **461** (2016), 87–120.
- [21] Yu. Prokhorov and C. Shramov, *Finite groups of birational selfmaps of threefolds*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.00789>.
- [22] ———, *p-subgroups in the space Cremona group*, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1610.02990.pdf>.

EGOR YASINSKY
STEKLOV MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
8 GUBKINA ST., MOSCOW, 119991, RUSSIA
E-mail address: yasinskyegor@gmail.com