

## ON THREE-DIMENSIONAL SEMI-TERMINAL SINGULARITIES

KENTO FUJITA

ABSTRACT. We classify three-dimensional non-normal semi-terminal singularities.

### 1. Introduction

The notion of terminal singularities is very important in the minimal model program. For the two-dimensional case, the notion of terminal singularities is equivalent to the notion of smoothness. Three-dimensional terminal singularities are understood by explicit equations and was given by [8] and the sufficiency of the conditions was checked in [6].

On the other hand, the importance of the class of certain non-normal varieties, which are called demi-normal varieties (see Definition 2.2), has been well-understood (see [4, §5]). For example, it is natural to allow semi-log-canonical singularities, that is, demi-normal with a log-canonicity condition, in order to consider families of canonically polarized varieties (see [6]). In [2], the author introduced the notion of semi-terminal singularities (see Definition 2.3) which is a natural generalization of terminal singularities. It is important to consider the notion of semi-terminal singularities since the author proved in [2] that there exists a semi-terminal modification for *any* demi-normal pair. However, it has not been known so much about semi-terminal singularities. In this paper, we classify all of the non-normal three-dimensional semi-terminal singularities.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $0 \in X$  be a three-dimensional non-normal semi-terminal singularity. Then  $0 \in X$  is analytically isomorphic to one of the following singularities:*

- (1) *Double normal crossing point, that is,  $0 \in (x_1x_2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^4$ .*
- (2) *Pinch point, that is,  $0 \in (x_1^2 - x_2^2x_3 = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^4$ .*

---

Received August 17, 2016; Revised December 7, 2016; Accepted December 26, 2016.  
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14B05; Secondary 14E30.

*Key words and phrases.* minimal model program, demi-normal variety, terminal singularity.

- (3) *2-twirl point*, that is,  $0 \in (x_1x_3 - x_2^2 = x_1x_4^2 - x_5^2 = x_2x_4^2 - x_5x_6 = x_3x_4^2 - x_6^2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^6$ .

*Remark 1.2.* Both double normal crossing point and pinch point are hyper-surface singularities. Thus both are Gorenstein. However, as we will see in Section 6, for a 2-twirl point  $0 \in X$ ,  $X$  is not Gorenstein but  $2K_X$  is Cartier. A general element  $0 \in S \in |-K_X|$  has a pinch point at  $0 \in S$ , the index 1 cover  $\pi: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  of  $0 \in X$  is double normal crossing, and  $\pi^*S$  is double normal crossing. See Example 2.7, Remark 2.9 and Section 6 in detail.

Now we organize the strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.1. The strategy is similar to the earlier works in [7–10]. For a demi-normal variety  $X$ , it is natural to consider its normalization  $\tilde{X}$ , the conductor divisor  $D_{\tilde{X}}$  of  $\tilde{X}/X$  and the involution  $\iota_X: \tilde{D}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \tilde{D}_{\tilde{X}}$  obtained by the natural double cover, where  $\tilde{D}_{\tilde{X}}$  is the normalization of  $D_{\tilde{X}}$ . In fact, the study of demi-normal varieties  $X$  can be reduced to the study of such  $(\tilde{X}, D_{\tilde{X}})$  and  $\iota_X: \tilde{D}_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow \tilde{D}_{\tilde{X}}$  by [4, §9]. From Section 3 to Section 4, we consider germs  $0 \in (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$  of normal pairs in place of considering non-normal singularities. For a germ  $0 \in (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$  of normal semi-terminal pair, by taking the index 1 cover, we reduce to the case that  $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{D}$  is Cartier (see Theorem 4.4). Then a general hypersurface  $0 \in S \subset \tilde{X}$  satisfies that the germ  $0 \in (S, S \cap \tilde{D})$  has either canonical singularities or log-elliptic singularities (see Definition 3.1). In Section 3, we analyze log-elliptic singularities. In Section 4, we classify three-dimensional normal semi-terminal pairs with nonzero reduced boundaries. In Section 5, we see how those pairs in Section 4 glue and we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 6, we see ring-theoretical properties of twirl singularities, which are important examples of higher-dimensional semi-terminal singularities.

**Acknowledgments.** The author thanks the referee for comments and suggestions. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16H06885.

Throughout the paper, we work over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$ . In the paper, a *variety* means a reduced, separated and of finite type scheme over  $\mathbb{C}$ . For any variety  $X$ , the morphism  $\nu_X: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  denotes the normalization of  $X$ . For the minimal model program, we refer the readers to [4] and [5].

## 2. Preliminaries

We collect some basic definitions and results in this section.

**Definition 2.1.** (1) Let  $X$  be a variety, let  $x \in X$  be a closed point, and let  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$  be the formal completion of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ . We say that  $x \in X$  is a *double normal crossing* (dnc, for short) point if  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]]/(x_1x_2)$ ; a *pinch* point if  $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \simeq \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}]]/(x_1^2 - x_2^2x_3)$ , respectively.

- (2) A variety  $X$  is called a *double normal crossing* variety (*dnc* variety, for short) if any closed point  $x \in X$  is either a smooth or a dnc point; a *semi-smooth* variety if any closed point  $x \in X$  is one of a smooth, a dnc or a pinch point, respectively.

**Definition 2.2** ([4, §5.1]). (1) Let  $X$  be an equi-dimensional variety. We call that  $X$  is a *demi-normal* variety if  $X$  satisfies Serre's  $S_2$  condition and  $X$  is dnc outside codimension 2.

- (2) Assume that an equi-dimensional variety  $X$  is dnc outside codimension 2. Then there exists a unique finite and birational morphism  $d: X^d \rightarrow X$  such that  $X^d$  is a demi-normal variety and the morphism  $d$  is an isomorphism in codimension 1 over  $X$ . We call the morphism  $d$  the *demi-normalization* of  $X$ .
- (3) Let  $X$  be a demi-normal variety and  $\nu_X: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  be the normalization of  $X$ . The *conductor ideal* of  $X$  is defined to be  $\text{cond}_X := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X}((\nu_X)_* \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}}, \mathcal{O}_X) \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ . This ideal can be seen as an ideal sheaf  $\text{cond}_{\bar{X}}$  on  $\bar{X}$ . Set

$$D_X := \text{Spec}_X(\mathcal{O}_X / \text{cond}_X) \text{ and } D_{\bar{X}} := \text{Spec}_{\bar{X}}(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}} / \text{cond}_{\bar{X}}).$$

We call the subscheme  $D_X$  (resp.,  $D_{\bar{X}}$ ) as the *conductor divisor* of  $X$  (resp., of  $\bar{X}/X$ ). It has been known that both  $D_{\bar{X}}$  and  $D_X$  are reduced and of pure codimension 1. Moreover, for the normalization morphism  $\nu_{D_{\bar{X}}}: \bar{D}_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{X}}$ , we get the Galois involution  $\iota_X: \bar{D}_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow \bar{D}_{\bar{X}}$  defined from  $\nu_X$  unless  $\nu_X$  is an isomorphism.

**Definition 2.3.** (1) The pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is called a *demi-normal pair* if  $X$  is a demi-normal variety,  $\Delta$  is a formal  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear sum  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i \Delta_i$  of reduced and irreducible closed subvarieties  $\Delta_i$  of codimension 1 with  $\Delta_i \not\subset \text{Supp } D_X$  and  $a_i \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Moreover, if  $X$  is normal, then the pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is called a *normal pair*.

- (2) Let  $(X, \Delta)$  be a demi-normal pair, let  $\nu_X: \bar{X} \rightarrow X$  be the normalization of  $X$ , and set  $\Delta_{\bar{X}} := (\nu_X)_*^{-1} \Delta$ .
- (i) [6, Definition 4.17] The pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is said to be *purely semi-log-terminal* if  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and the pair  $(\bar{X}, \Delta_{\bar{X}} + D_{\bar{X}})$  is purely log-terminal.
- (ii) [6, Definition 4.17] The pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is said to be *semi-canonical* if  $K_X + \Delta$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier and the pair  $(\bar{X}, \Delta_{\bar{X}} + D_{\bar{X}})$  has canonical singularities.
- (iii) [2, Definition 2.3] The pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is said to be *semi-terminal* if the pair  $(X, \Delta)$  is semi-canonical and for any exceptional prime divisor  $E$  over  $\bar{X}$  we have the inequality  $a(E, \bar{X}, \Delta_{\bar{X}} + D_{\bar{X}}) > 0$  unless  $\text{center}_{\bar{X}} E \subset \text{Supp}[\Delta_{\bar{X}} + D_{\bar{X}}]$  and  $\text{codim}_{\bar{X}}(\text{center}_{\bar{X}} E) = 2$ .

A demi-normal variety  $X$  is said to be semi-log-terminal (resp., semi-canonical, semi-terminal) if the demi-normal pair  $(X, 0)$  is purely semi-log-terminal (resp., semi-canonical, semi-terminal).

*Remark 2.4* ([2, Remark 2.4]). Let us consider a normal pair  $(Y, \Delta + S)$  such that  $S = \lfloor S \rfloor$ .

- (1) If  $(Y, \Delta + S)$  has canonical singularities, then  $\text{Diff}_S \Delta = 0$  and the variety  $S$  with the reduced structure has canonical singularities. In particular,  $S$  is a normal variety.
- (2) If  $(Y, \Delta + S)$  is semi-terminal, then the variety  $S$  with the reduced structure has terminal singularities.

In particular, for any demi-normal pair  $(X, \Delta)$ , the following holds. (1) If  $(X, \Delta)$  is semi-canonical, then  $\text{Supp}[\Delta_{\bar{X}} + D_{\bar{X}}]$  with the reduced structure has canonical singularities. (2) If  $(X, \Delta)$  is semi-terminal, then  $\text{Supp}[\Delta_{\bar{X}} + D_{\bar{X}}]$  with the reduced structure has terminal singularities.

- Example 2.5.**
- (1) [5, Corollary 2.31] Assume that  $(X, \Delta)$  is a normal pair such that  $X$  is a smooth variety and  $\text{Supp} \Delta \subset X$  is a (possibly non-connected) smooth divisor. Then  $(X, \Delta)$  is semi-terminal.
  - (2) If  $X$  is a semi-smooth variety, then the variety  $X$  is semi-terminal by (1).
  - (3) [5, Theorem 4.5] Let  $(S, C)$  be a two-dimensional normal pair with  $C$  reduced and  $0 \in C$  be a point. Then  $(S, C)$  has canonical singularities around 0 if and only if both  $S$  and  $C$  are smooth at 0.
  - (4) [6, Proposition 4.12] Let  $X$  be a demi-normal surface and  $0 \in X$  be a closed point. The variety  $X$  is semi-canonical around  $0 \in X$  if and only if  $0 \in X$  is one of a smooth, a du Val, a dnc or a pinch point. Thus,  $X$  is semi-terminal around 0 if and only if  $X$  is semi-smooth around  $0 \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $X, X'$  be semi-log-terminal varieties.*

- (1) *All of the varieties  $X, \bar{X}$  and  $D_{\bar{X}}$  are Cohen-Macaulay. The variety  $D_{\bar{X}}$  is normal.*
- (2) *The variety  $D_X$  is equal to the quotient  $D_{\bar{X}}/\iota_X$  (thus  $D_X$  is normal) and the variety  $X$  is obtained by the universal push-out (see [4, Theorem 9.30]) of the following diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{\bar{X}} & \hookrightarrow & \bar{X} \\ \downarrow & & \\ D_{\bar{X}}/\iota_X & & \end{array}$$

- (3) *For two singularities  $p \in X$  and  $p' \in X'$  are analytically isomorphic to each other if and only if there exist analytical neighborhoods of  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{X}'$  around  $\nu_X^{-1}(p)$  and  $\nu_{X'}^{-1}(p')$  such that the triplets  $(\bar{X}, D_{\bar{X}}, \iota_X)$  and  $(\bar{X}', D_{\bar{X}'}, \iota_{X'})$  are analytically isomorphic around those neighborhoods.*

*Proof.* (1) Both the varieties  $D_{\bar{X}}$  and  $\bar{X}$  are normal and Cohen-Macaulay by [5, Corollary 5.25 and Proposition 5.51]. We show that  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

By taking the index 1 cover (see [4, Definition 2.49]), we can assume that  $X$  is semi-canonical and  $K_X$  is Cartier by [5, Proposition 5.7]. Take a semi-resolution  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  of  $X$  in the sense of [4, Theorem 10.54]. Since  $X$  is semi-canonical and  $K_X$  is Cartier, there exists an effective  $f$ -exceptional Cartier divisor  $B$  on  $Y$  such that  $\omega_Y(-B) = f^*\omega_X$  holds. By [1, Theorem 1.10],  $R^i f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(B) = 0$  and  $R^i f_*\omega_Y = 0$  for all  $i > 0$ . The composition of the following natural morphisms

$$f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(B) \simeq_{\text{qis}} f_*\mathcal{O}_Y(B) = f_*\mathcal{O}_Y$$

in the derived category of coherent sheaves on  $Y$  is a quasi-isomorphism. By [4, Corollary 2.75], the variety  $X$  is Cohen-Macaulay.

(2) We know that the set of log-canonical centers of the pair  $(\bar{X}, D_{\bar{X}})$  is equal to the set of connected components of the variety  $D_{\bar{X}}$ . Thus (2) is a very special case of [4, §9.1].

(3) Follows from (2) immediately.  $\square$

We see important examples of semi-terminal singularities.

**Example 2.7.** Fix  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Set  $\bar{X}_m := \mathbb{A}_{x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}}^{m+1}$  and  $\bar{D}_m := (x_{m+1} = 0) \subset \bar{X}_m$ . We set the involution  $\iota: \bar{D}_m \rightarrow \bar{D}_m$  defined by  $x_i \mapsto -x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Let  $X_m$  be the demi-normal variety obtained by the triplet  $(\bar{X}_m, \bar{D}_m, \iota)$  (see [4, Corollary 5.33, 9.31(3) and Theorem 5.38]). In fact, by a direct calculation in Lemma 2.6 (2),  $X_m = \text{Spec } R_m$  with

$$R_m = \mathbb{C}[\{x_i x_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m}, x_{m+1}, \{x_i x_{m+1}\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}].$$

Let  $\nu: \bar{X}_m \rightarrow X_m$  be the normalization morphism and let  $0 \in X_m$  be the image of  $0 \in \bar{X}_m$ . Consider a section  $\phi := 1/x_{m+1}(dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{m+1})$  of  $\omega_{\bar{X}_m}(\bar{D}_m)$ . Then  $\text{Res}_{\bar{X}_m \rightarrow \bar{D}_m}(\phi) = (-1)^m dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_m \in \omega_{\bar{D}_m}$  is  $\iota$ -anti-invariant if  $m$  is odd and  $\iota$ -invariant if  $m$  is even, where  $\text{Res}_{\bar{X}_m \rightarrow \bar{D}_m}$  is the residue map. By [4, Proposition 5.8],  $2K_{X_m}$  is Cartier. Moreover,  $K_{X_m}$  is Cartier if  $m$  is odd. In fact,  $X_m$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $m$  is odd (see Section 6). From now on, we consider the index 1 cover  $\pi: \tilde{X}_m \rightarrow X_m$  of  $X_m$  with respects to  $\phi^2$  for the case  $m$  is even. By [4, Proposition 5.8], the global section  $\Gamma(X_m, \omega_{X_m})$  is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} R_m \cdot \frac{x_i}{x_{m+1}} dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{m+1}.$$

Thus  $\tilde{X}_m = \text{Spec } \tilde{R}_m$  with

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}_m &= R_m[\{y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m+1}]/(\{y_i y_j - x_i x_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq m+1}) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{C}[x_{m+1}, y_1, \dots, y_{m+1}]/(y_{m+1}^2 - x_{m+1}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\tilde{X}_m$  is a dnc variety.

**Definition 2.8.** An  $n$ -dimensional demi-normal singularity  $0 \in X$  is called an  $m$ -twirl point if  $0 \in X$  is analytically isomorphic to the singularity  $0 \in X_m \times \mathbb{A}^{n-m-1}$ , where  $0 \in X_m$  is the singularity defined in Example 2.7.

*Remark 2.9.* (1) The notion of 1-twirl points is equal to the notion of pinch points since there exists a natural isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[x_1^2, x_2, x_1x_2] \simeq \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3]/(y_2^2 - y_3^2y_1)$ .

- (2) We consider a three-dimensional 2-twirl point  $0 \in X_2$ . Let  $\pi: \tilde{X}_2 \rightarrow X_2$  be the index 1 cover as in Example 2.7. Take a general element  $0 \in S \in |-K_{X_2}|$  and set  $\tilde{S} := \pi^*S \subset \tilde{X}_2$ . By a suitable coordinate change, we may assume that the embedding  $S \subset X_2$  corresponds to the following surjection

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_3, x_1x_3, x_2x_3] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{C}[x_2^2, x_3, x_2x_3]$$

such that  $x_1^2$ ,  $x_1x_2$  and  $x_1x_3$  map to zero. Thus the double cover  $(0 \in \tilde{S}) \rightarrow (0 \in S)$  is from a double normal crossing point to a pinch point.

### 3. Log-elliptic singularities

We consider log-elliptic singularities. The concept of log-elliptic singularities is a logarithmic analogue of the concept of elliptic singularities. In this section, many arguments are similar to the arguments in [5, §4.4] based on the works [7] and [9].

**Definition 3.1.** A germ  $0 \in (S, C)$  of a two-dimensional normal pair is called a *log-elliptic singularity* if  $C$  is nonzero,  $0 \in C$ ,  $K_S + C$  is Cartier and for any projective birational morphism  $f: T \rightarrow S$  such that  $T$  is smooth and  $C_T := f_*^{-1}C$  is smooth,  $f_*\omega_T(C_T) = \mathfrak{m}_{0,S} \cdot \omega_S(C)$  holds, where  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,S}$  is the maximal ideal sheaf corresponds to  $0 \in S$ .

*Remark 3.2.* For a two-dimensional normal pair  $(S, C)$  with  $C$  reduced and  $K_S + C$  Cartier and for a projective birational morphism  $f: T \rightarrow S$  such that  $T$  is smooth and  $C_T := f_*^{-1}C$  is smooth,  $f_*\omega_T(C_T) = \omega_S(C)$  holds if and only if the pair  $(S, C)$  has canonical singularities. The proof is essentially same as the proof of [4, Claim 2.3.1]. Thus in Definition 3.1, it is enough to check the condition  $f_*\omega_T(C_T) = \mathfrak{m}_{0,S} \cdot \omega_S(C)$  for only one birational morphism  $f$ .

For the reason to consider log-elliptic singularities, see Lemma 4.1.

**Notation 3.3.** Let  $0 \in (S, C)$  be a germ of a two-dimensional normal pair such that  $K_S + C$  is Cartier and  $(S, C)$  has not canonical singularities. Let  $g: S' \rightarrow S$  be the canonical modification (see [2, Definition 2.6]) of the normal pair  $(S, C)$ ,  $h: T \rightarrow S'$  be the minimal resolution,  $f: T \rightarrow S$  be the composition and  $C_T$  be the strict transform of  $C$  on  $T$ . We note that  $f: T \rightarrow S$  is a semi-terminal modification (see [2, Definition 2.6]) of the normal pair  $(S, C)$ . By [4, Claim 2.26.4], there exists a unique  $f$ -exceptional effective Cartier divisor  $Z$  on  $T$  such that  $K_T + C_T + Z \sim 0$  and the support of  $Z$  is equal to the exceptional locus of the morphism  $f$ .

The following two propositions are essentially same as [5, Propositions 4.45 and 4.47].

**Proposition 3.4.** *Fix Notation 3.3. Let  $L$  be an  $f$ -nef line bundle on  $T$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *The homomorphism  $H^0(T, L) \rightarrow H^0(Z, L|_Z)$  is surjective.*
- (2) *The homomorphism  $H^1(T, L) \rightarrow H^1(Z, L|_Z)$  is an isomorphism.*
- (3)  *$L \simeq \mathcal{O}_T$  if and only if  $L \equiv_f 0$  and  $L|_Z \simeq \mathcal{O}_Z$ .*
- (4)  *$f_*\omega_T(C_T + Z) = \omega_S(C)$  holds.*
- (5)  *$\omega_S(C)/f_*\omega_T(C_T) \simeq H^0(Z, \omega_Z(C_T|_Z))$  holds.*
- (6)  *$\omega_S(C)/f_*\omega_T(C_T)$  and  $H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-C_T|_Z))$  are dual to each other.*

**Proposition 3.5.** *Under Notation 3.3, for any nonzero effective divisor  $Z' \leq Z$ , we have  $h^1(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(-C_T|_{Z'})) < h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-C_T|_Z))$ .*

**Lemma 3.6** (cf. [5, Proposition 4.51]). *Fix Notation 3.3. Assume that  $0 \in (S, C)$  is a log-elliptic singularity. Then  $h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$  holds. Moreover, for any reduced and irreducible component  $E \leq Z$ ,  $E$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $((C_T + Z - E).E) = 2$  holds.*

*Proof.* From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(-C_T|_{Z'}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z' \cap C_T} \rightarrow 0,$$

we have  $h^1(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'}(-C_T|_{Z'})) \geq h^1(Z', \mathcal{O}_{Z'})$  for any nonzero effective divisor  $Z' \leq Z$ . By Proposition 3.4(6),  $h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-C_T|_Z)) = 1$  holds. Thus  $h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$  or 1. Assume that  $h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 1$ . Then  $h^0(Z, \omega_Z) = 1$ . Thus  $(\omega_Z.Z) \geq 0$ . Moreover, since the support of  $Z$  is equal to the exceptional locus of  $f$ ,  $(C_T.Z) > 0$  holds. However, since  $K_T + C_T + Z \sim 0$ , we have  $0 = (\omega_Z.Z) + (C_T.Z)$ . This leads to a contradiction. Thus  $h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ . By Proposition 3.5, we have  $h^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 0$  for any reduced and irreducible component  $E \leq Z$ . Moreover, we have  $0 = ((K_T + C_T + Z).E) = -2 + ((C_T + Z - E).E)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7** (cf. [5, Lemma 4.53]). *Fix Notation 3.3. Assume that  $0 \in (S, C)$  is a log-elliptic singularity. Let  $L$  be a nef line bundle on  $Z$ . Then  $H^1(Z, L) = 0$  and there exists a section  $s \in H^0(Z, L)$  such that the associated subscheme  $V := (s = 0) \subset Z$  does not intersect  $C_T$  and the singular locus of  $\text{red}(Z)$ , and  $s|_{\text{red}(Z)}$  is smooth. Moreover, for such  $s$  and  $V$ , if we set  $A := \mathcal{O}_V$ , then the natural homomorphism  $H^0(Z, L) \rightarrow A \otimes L$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.6, we have  $h^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) = 0$ . Thus the assertion follows from [5, Lemma 4.50].  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8** (cf. [5, Proposition 4.54]). *Under the notation in Proposition 3.7, assume that the integer  $k := (L.Z)$  satisfies that  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then there exists an isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Z, L^{\otimes n}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[s, t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}] / (\{x_i x_j + q_{ij}(s, t)\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1})$$

*of graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras, where  $s, t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}$  are of degree one and  $q_{ij}(s, t) \in \mathbb{C}[s, t]$  are homogeneous polynomials of degree two.*

*Proof.* We set

$$\begin{aligned} R_Z(n) &:= H^0(Z, L^{\otimes n}), & R_Z &:= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_Z(n), \\ R_V(n) &:= H^0(V, L|_V^{\otimes n}), \text{ and} & R_V &:= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} R_V(n). \end{aligned}$$

For any  $n \geq 0$ , there exists a natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R_Z(n) \xrightarrow{\cdot s} R_Z(n+1) \rightarrow R_V(n+1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} R_Z(0) = 1$ , we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} R_Z(n) = kn + 1$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $T \in R_V(1) = A \otimes L$  be an element generating  $A \otimes L$  and  $t \in R_Z(1)$  be an extension of  $T$ . Since  $R_V(n) = A \cdot T^n$  for any  $n \geq 0$ , we have

$$(R_Z/sR_Z)(n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & (n = 0), \\ A \cdot T^n & (n \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

Thus there exists elements  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1} \in R_Z(1)$  such that

$$R_Z/(s, t)R_Z = \mathbb{C}[\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_{k-1}]/(\{\bar{x}_i \bar{x}_j\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq k-1}),$$

where  $\bar{x}_i \in (R_Z/(s, t)R_Z)(1)$  is the image of  $x_i$ . Therefore the assertion follows from [5, Lemma 4.55].  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9** (cf. [5, Theorem 4.57]). *Fix Notation 3.3. Assume that  $0 \in (S, C)$  is a log-elliptic singularity. Let  $g: S' \rightarrow S$  be the blowing up along the maximal ideal sheaf  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,S}$  corresponds to  $0 \in S$ , that is,  $S' = \text{Proj}_S \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_{0,S}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_{S'}(1)$  be the  $g$ -ample line bundle on  $S'$  corresponds to the projectivization. Then the morphism is equal to the canonical modification of the normal pair  $(S, C)$ . Thus there exists a morphism  $h: T \rightarrow S'$  such that  $g \circ h = f$  holds. Moreover,  $K_{S'} + C_{S'} \sim \mathcal{O}_{S'}(1) \sim -h_*Z$  holds, where  $C_{S'} \subset S'$  be the strict transform of  $C$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $L := \mathcal{O}_T(-Z) \simeq \omega_T(C_T)$ . Then  $L|_Z$  is nef and  $(L, Z) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Since  $f^*$  gives a natural isomorphism  $H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \simeq H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T)$ , we get an ideal  $I_n \subset H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$  defined by

$$H^0(T, L^{\otimes n}) = H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(-nZ)) =: I_n \subset H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)$$

for any  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) \simeq \mathbb{C}$ , we have  $I_1 = \mathfrak{m}_{0,S}$ . Take general global sections  $s_1, s_2 \in H^0(T, L)$ . Since there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L^{\otimes n-1} \xrightarrow{t(s_2, -s_1)} L^{\otimes n} \oplus L^{\otimes n} \xrightarrow{(s_1, s_2)} L^{\otimes n+1} \rightarrow 0$$

and  $H^1(T, L^n) = 0$  for any  $n \geq 0$  (see [5, Corollary 2.68]), we have  $I_{n+1} = I_n \cdot I_1$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Since there exists a natural exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(-(n+1)Z)) &\rightarrow H^0(T, \mathcal{O}_T(-nZ)) \\ &\rightarrow H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(-nZ)) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$



for any  $n \geq 0$ , we have an isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n/I_{n+1} \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^0(Z, L^{\otimes n}|_Z)$$

of graded  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras. By Proposition 3.8, the algebra  $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n/I_{n+1}$  is generated by  $I_1/I_2$ . Hence  $I_n = I_{n+1} + I_1^n = I_n \cdot I_1 + I_1^n$  holds for any  $n \geq 0$ . By Nakayama's lemma,  $I_1^n = I_n$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Hence  $I_n = \mathfrak{m}_{0,S}^n$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Thus there exists isomorphisms

$$\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathfrak{m}_{0,S}^n \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} f_* \mathcal{O}_T(-nZ) \simeq \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} f_* \mathcal{O}_T(n(K_T + C_T))$$

of graded  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -algebras. Thus  $S' \simeq \text{Proj}_S \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} f_* \mathcal{O}_T(n(K_T + C_T))$  is the canonical modification of  $(S, C)$  by [2, Proposition 3.2]. Since  $\mathcal{O}_T(-nZ)$  is generated by global sections for any  $n \gg 0$ , the induced morphism  $h: T \rightarrow S'$  satisfies that  $h^* \mathcal{O}_{S'}(1) \sim \mathcal{O}_T(-Z)$ . Thus we have  $\mathcal{O}_{S'}(1) \sim -h_* Z \sim K_{S'} + C_{S'}$  since  $K_T + C_T + Z \sim 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Normal semi-terminal pairs

For a semi-terminal variety  $X$ , the pair  $(\bar{X}, D_{\bar{X}})$  is a normal semi-terminal pair. In this section, we consider three-dimensional such objects with nonzero  $D_{\bar{X}}$ .

**Lemma 4.1** (cf. [5, Lemma 5.30]). *Let  $0 \in (X, D)$  be a germ of a three-dimensional canonical singularity with  $0 \in D \neq 0$  and  $K_X + D$  Cartier. Let  $0 \in S \subset X$  be a general hypersurface passing through  $0 \in X$  and let  $C := D \cap S$ . Then the two-dimensional singularity  $0 \in (S, C)$  is either a canonical singularity or a log-elliptic singularity.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be a log resolution of the normal pair  $(X, D)$  which dominates the blowing up of  $X$  along the maximal ideal sheaf  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,X}$  corresponds to  $0 \in X$ . Then there exists an  $f$ -exceptional effective divisor  $E$  on  $Y$  such that  $f^* \mathfrak{m}_{0,X} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)$  holds. Moreover, since  $0 \in S \subset X$  is general, we have  $f^* S = S' + E$ ,  $S'$  is smooth and  $C' := D' \cap S' \subset S'$  is equal to  $(f|_{S'})_*^{-1} C$ , where  $S' := f_*^{-1} S$  and  $D' := f_*^{-1} D$ . Since  $X$  and  $D$  are Cohen-Macaulay,  $S$  is normal,  $C$  is reduced and  $K_S + C = (K_X + D + S)|_S$  is Cartier. There exists an  $f$ -exceptional effective divisor  $F$  on  $Y$  such that  $\omega_Y(D') = f^* \omega_X(D)(F)$  holds since  $(X, D)$  has canonical singularities. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{S'}(C') &= \omega_Y(D' + S')|_{S'} \\ &= f^*(\omega_X(D + S))(F - E)|_{S'} = (f|_{S'})^*(\omega_S(C))(F - E)|_{S'}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f|_{S'})_* \omega_{S'}(C') &= \omega_S(C) \otimes (f|_{S'})_* \mathcal{O}_{S'}(F - E)|_{S'} \\ &\supset \omega_S(C) \otimes (f|_{S'})_* \mathcal{O}_{S'}(-E)|_{S'} = \mathfrak{m}_{0,S} \cdot \omega_S(C), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,S}$  is the maximal ideal sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_S$  corresponds to  $0 \in S$ . Thus the assertion follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2** (cf. [5, Theorems 5.34 and 5.35]). *Let  $0 \in (X, D)$  be a germ of a three-dimensional normal semi-terminal singularity with  $0 \in D \neq 0$  and  $K_X + D$  Cartier. Let  $0 \in S \subset X$  be a general hypersurface passing through  $0 \in X$  and let  $C := D \cap S$ . Then the two-dimensional singularity  $0 \in (S, C)$  has a canonical singularity.*

*Proof.* Assume not. Then the singularity  $0 \in (S, C)$  is a log-elliptic singularity by Lemma 4.1. Let  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  be the blowing up along the maximal ideal sheaf  $\mathfrak{m}_{0,X}$  corresponding to  $0 \in X$  and let  $\bar{f}: \bar{Y} \rightarrow X$  be the composition  $f \circ \nu_Y$ . Let  $E \subset Y$  be the  $f$ -exceptional Cartier divisor on  $Y$  defined by  $f^*\mathfrak{m}_{0,X} = \mathcal{O}_Y(-E)$  and let  $S' \subset Y$  be the strict transform of  $S$ . By Theorem 3.9, the morphism  $f|_{S'}: S' \rightarrow S$  is the canonical modification of the normal pair  $(S, C)$ . In particular,  $S'$  is normal. Since  $f^*S = S' + E$  and  $\nu_Y$  is an isomorphism around  $S'$ ,  $\nu_Y^*S' (\simeq S')$  is  $\bar{f}$ -ample and  $\nu_Y^*S'$  intersects any component of  $\bar{f}$ -exceptional divisors. Since  $(X, D)$  has canonical singularities, there exists an  $f$ -exceptional effective Cartier divisor  $F$  on  $\bar{Y}$  such that  $K_{\bar{Y}} + D_{\bar{Y}} = f^*(K_X + D) + F$  holds, where  $D_{\bar{Y}} := \bar{f}_*^{-1}D$ . Since  $0 \in S \subset X$  is general,  $D_{\bar{Y}}|_{\nu_Y^*S'} = C_{S'}$  holds, where  $C_{S'} \subset \nu_Y^*S'$  is the strict transform of  $C \subset S$ . By Theorem 3.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\nu_Y^*E|_{\nu_Y^*S'} &\equiv K_{\nu_Y^*S'} + C_{S'} = K_{\bar{Y}} + D_{\bar{Y}} + \nu_Y^*S'|_{\nu_Y^*S'} \\ &= (\bar{f}^*(K_X + D + S) + F - \nu_Y^*E)|_{\nu_Y^*S'} \equiv (F - \nu_Y^*E)|_{\nu_Y^*S'}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F|_{\nu_Y^*S'} \equiv 0$ . Any component of  $F$  maps onto  $0 \in X$ . Thus  $F|_{\nu_Y^*S'} \subset \nu_Y^*S'$  is exceptional with respects to the morphism  $\nu_Y^*S' \rightarrow S$ . By the negativity lemma [5, Lemma 3.39],  $F|_{\nu_Y^*S'} = 0$ . Since any component of  $F$  intersects  $\nu_Y^*S'$ , we have  $F = 0$ . Therefore, there exists an exceptional prime divisor  $G$  over  $X$  such that  $\text{center}_X G = \{0\}$  and  $a(G, X, D) = 0$ . This leads to a contradiction since  $(X, D)$  is semi-terminal. Thus the assertion follows.  $\square$

By Example 2.5 (3) and Theorem 4.2, we have the following:

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $0 \in (X, D)$  be a germ of a three-dimensional normal semi-terminal singularity with  $D \neq 0$ ,  $0 \in D$  and  $K_X + D$  Cartier. Then both  $X$  and  $D$  are smooth at  $0$ .*

The following theorem is proven similar to [10, Theorem (3.1)].

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $0 \in (X, D)$  be a germ of a three-dimensional normal semi-terminal singularity such that  $0 \in \text{Supp } D$  and  $D$  is a nonzero reduced divisor. Then both  $X$  and  $D$  are smooth at  $0$ .*

*Proof.* We set

$$r := \min\{r \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \mid r(K_X + D) \text{ is Cartier}\}.$$

Take the index 1 cover  $\pi: 0 \in (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) \rightarrow 0 \in (X, D)$  of  $0 \in (X, D)$  (see [4, Proposition 2.50(2)]). Then we have  $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{D} = \pi^*(K_X + D)$ ,  $\pi^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ ,  $K_{\tilde{X}} + \tilde{D}$  is Cartier, the normal pair  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$  is semi-terminal, the group  $\mu_r$  of  $r$ -th roots of unity acts on  $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$  and the normal pair  $(X, D)$  is the quotient of the group action. We note that the group action is free outside  $0 \in \tilde{X}$  by Example 2.5(3). By Corollary 4.3, both  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  are smooth at 0. Therefore the assertion follows if  $r = 1$ . Assume that  $r > 1$ . By taking an analytical neighborhood of  $0 \in \tilde{X}$ , we can assume that  $0 \in (\tilde{X}, \tilde{D})$  is equal to  $0 \in (\mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2, x_3}^3, \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2}^2 = (x_3 = 0))$  and the action  $\mu_r \curvearrowright (\mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2, x_3}^3, \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2}^2)$  is given by  $x_i \mapsto \varepsilon^{a_i} x_i$  for some  $0 \leq a_i \leq r - 1$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ), where  $\varepsilon \in \mu_r$  is a generator. Since the group action is free outside  $0 \in \tilde{X}$ , all of  $a_1, a_2$  and  $a_3$  are nonzero. By replacing a generator  $\varepsilon \in \mu_r$  if necessary, we can assume that  $\gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3) = 1$  and  $a_1 + a_2 \leq r$ .

Let  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2, x_3}^3$  be the weighted blowup with weights  $(a_1, a_2, a_3)$ . By [4, Theorem 3.21], a local chart is

$$f: \mathbb{A}_{y_1, y_2, y_3}^3 / \frac{1}{a_1}(1, -a_2, -a_3) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2, x_3}^3$$

with  $f^*x_1 = y_1^{a_1}$ ,  $f^*x_2 = y_1^{a_2}y_2$  and  $f^*x_3 = y_1^{a_3}y_3$ . Set  $F := (y_1 = 0)$ . Since

$$f^*(x_3^{-1}dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3) = a_1y_3^{-1}y_1^{a_1+a_2-1}dy_1 \wedge dy_2 \wedge dy_3,$$

we have  $a(F, \tilde{X}, \tilde{D}) = a_1 + a_2 - 1$ . Let  $E$  be the exceptional prime divisor over  $X$  which is dominated by  $F$ . We note that  $\text{center}_X E = \{0\}$ . By [4, Theorem 3.21], we have  $a(E, X, D) = (a_1 + a_2)/r - 1 \leq 0$ . Since  $(X, D)$  is semi-terminal, this leads to a contradiction. Thus  $r$  must be equal to one.  $\square$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

As a corollary of Theorem 4.4, we can prove Theorem 1.1. Let  $0 \in X$  be a germ of a three-dimensional non-normal semi-terminal singularity. By Theorem 4.4, both  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{D}$  are smooth.

We consider the case that the inverse image  $\nu_{\tilde{X}}^{-1}(0)$  does not consist of only one point. By Lemma 2.6(2),  $\nu_{\tilde{X}}^{-1}(0) = \{q_1, q_2\}$ . By taking analytical neighborhoods of  $q_1, q_2 \in \tilde{X}$ , we can assume that  $q_1, q_2 \in (\tilde{X}, D_{\tilde{X}})$  is equal to the disjoint union of

$$\begin{aligned} (q_1 =)0 &\in (\mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2, x_3}^3, \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2}^2 = (x_3 = 0)), \\ (q_2 =)0 &\in (\mathbb{A}_{y_1, y_2, y_3}^3, \mathbb{A}_{y_1, y_2}^2 = (y_3 = 0)), \end{aligned}$$

and the involution  $\iota_X: D_{\tilde{X}} \rightarrow D_{\tilde{X}}$  is given by  $x_i \mapsto y_i$  and  $y_i \mapsto x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ . Then the coordinate ring  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is equal to

$$\{(f, g) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3] \times \mathbb{C}[y_1, y_2, y_3] \mid f(x_1, x_2, 0) = g(x_1, x_2, 0)\}.$$

Consider the ring surjection  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3, y_3] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  defined by  $x_1 \mapsto (x_1, y_1)$ ,  $x_2 \mapsto (x_2, y_2)$ ,  $x_3 \mapsto (x_3, 0)$  and  $y_3 \mapsto (0, y_3)$ . The kernel of the surjection is generated by  $x_3y_3$ . Thus  $X$  is a dnc variety.

We consider the case that the inverse image  $\nu_{\bar{X}}^{-1}(0)$  consists of only one point, say  $0 \in \bar{X}$ . Then  $0 \in D_{\bar{X}}$  is a fixed point of the involution  $\iota_X : D_{\bar{X}} \rightarrow D_{\bar{X}}$ . By taking an analytical neighborhood of  $0 \in \bar{X}$ , we can assume either

$$0 \in \bar{X} = \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2, x_3}^3, D_{\bar{X}} = \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2}^2 = (x_3 = 0), \iota_X : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto x_1, \\ x_2 \mapsto -x_2, \end{cases}$$

or

$$0 \in \bar{X} = \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2, x_3}^3, D_{\bar{X}} = \mathbb{A}_{x_1, x_2}^2 = (x_3 = 0), \iota_X : \begin{cases} x_1 \mapsto -x_1, \\ x_2 \mapsto -x_2. \end{cases}$$

As we have seen in Example 2.7,  $0 \in X$  is a 1-twirl point (that is, a pinch point) for the former case; a 2-twirl point for the latter case.

As a consequence, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 6. Appendix: ring-theoretical properties of twirl singularities

In this section, we determine whether a given  $m$ -twirl point is Gorenstein or not by using [3, Theorem (2)]. Fix a positive integer  $m$  and a lattice  $N := \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_{m+1}$ . Set  $H \subset N$  such that

$$H := \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}(e_i + e_j) + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}e_{m+1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}(e_i + e_{m+1}).$$

Then  $H \subset N$  is a finitely generated additive semigroup with identity. Moreover, the semigroup ring  $\mathbb{C}[H]$  is equal to  $R_m$  in Example 2.7. We set  $f_i := 2e_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) and  $f_{m+1} := e_{m+1}$ . Then  $f_1, \dots, f_{m+1}$  satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) in [3, p. 1]. Set

$$F_i := H \cap \sum_{1 \leq p \leq m+1, p \neq i} \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}f_p$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ , that is,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} F_p = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m, i \neq p, j \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}(e_i + e_j) + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}e_{m+1} \\ \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m, i \neq p} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}(e_i + e_{m+1}) \quad (p \neq m+1), \\ F_{m+1} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}(e_i + e_j). \end{array} \right.$$

Set

$$H_i := \{w \in N \mid \text{there exists } g \in F_i \text{ such that } w + g \in H\}$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq m+1$ , that is,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} H_p = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m, i \neq p, j \neq p} \mathbb{Z}(e_i + e_j) + \mathbb{Z}e_{m+1} \\ \quad + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m, i \neq p} \mathbb{Z}(e_i + e_{m+1}) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}(e_i + e_p) \\ \quad + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}(e_p + e_{m+1}) \quad (p \neq m+1), \\ H_{m+1} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m} \mathbb{Z}(e_i + e_j) + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}e_{m+1} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}(e_i + e_{m+1}). \end{array} \right.$$

Hence the set  $N \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m+1} H_i$  is equal to

$$\left\{ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_i e_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} a_i \text{ is odd} \right\} \cup \sum_{1 \leq i \leq m+1} \mathbb{Z}_{<0} e_i.$$

By [3, Theorem (2)],  $\mathbb{C}[H]$  is Gorenstein if and only if there exists  $c \in N$  such that  $c - H = N \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m+1} H_i$ . Thus  $\mathbb{C}[H]$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $m$  is odd. Therefore we have the following:

**Proposition 6.1.**  *$m$ -twirl point is Gorenstein if and only if  $m$  is odd.*

## References

- [1] O. Fujino, *Fundamental theorems for semi log canonical pairs*, *Algebr. Geom.* **1** (2014), no. 2, 194–228.
- [2] K. Fujita, *Semi-terminal modifications of demi-normal pairs*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2015** (2015), no. 24, 13653–13668.
- [3] S. Goto, N. Suzuki, and K.-I. Watanabe, *On affine semigroup rings*, *Japan J. Math. (N.S.)* **2** (1976), no. 1, 1–12.
- [4] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the Minimal Model Program*, With the collaboration of S. Kovács. *Cambridge Tracts in Math.*, **200**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013.
- [5] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti. *Cambridge Tracts in Math.*, **134**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [6] J. Kollár and N. I. Shepherd-Barron, *Threefolds and deformations of surface singularities*, *Invent. Math.* **91** (1988), no. 2, 299–338.
- [7] H. B. Laufer, *On minimally elliptic singularities*, *Amer. J. Math.* **99** (1977), no. 6, 1257–1295.
- [8] S. Mori, *On 3-dimensional terminal singularities*, *Nagoya Math. J.* **98** (1985), 43–66.
- [9] M. Reid, *Elliptic Gorenstein singularities of surfaces*, preprint, 1978.
- [10] ———, *Canonical 3-folds*, *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers, Juillet 1979/Algebraic Geometry, Angers, 1979*, pp. 273–310, Sijthoff & Noordhoff, Alphen aan den Rijn—Germantown, Md., 1980.

KENTO FUJITA  
 RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES  
 KYOTO UNIVERSITY  
 KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN  
*E-mail address:* fujita@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp